

2010

NANPA **ANNUAL REPORT**

neustar®



## To stakeholders of the North American Numbering Plan Administration


It is with great pleasure that Neustar, Inc. (“Neustar”) presents the 2010 North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA) Annual Report. This annual report covers NANPA activities from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

The NANPA annual report focuses on the administration of the various numbering resources of the North American Numbering Plan (NANP). As with previous annual reports, it provides a picture of the state of the NANP at the end of 2010. It also provides a useful and comprehensive description of the numerous activities undertaken by NANPA during the year. The data included in this report comes from the NANPA website where you can locate the latest numbering information.

Neustar has served as the NANPA for over twelve years. Over this time frame, we have continually focused on NANPA’s core responsibilities of administration of NANP resources, coordination of area code relief planning and the collection of utilization and forecast data from service providers. Our experience enables us to completely understand the critical nature of the services that NANPA provides the Federal Communications Commission, state regulatory commissions, the telecommunications industry and the general public. Looking forward, we remain committed to providing high quality, neutral, third-party administration of the NANP and maintaining the trust you have placed in us.

Feel free to contact any of the NANPA staff, or me, with any comments, suggestions or concerns. Thank you for this opportunity to serve as NANPA.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John C. Manning". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

**John C. Manning**  
Sr. Director, NANPA  
Neustar, Inc. (Neustar)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>The North American Numbering Plan.....</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Numbering Resource Utilization and Forecast .....</b>	<b>26</b>
History .....	5	Overview .....	26
North American Numbering Plan Administration.....	5	2010 NRUF Exhaust Forecasts.....	27
NANPA Funding .....	5	<b>Other NANPA Services .....</b>	<b>28</b>
NANPA Neutrality .....	5	AOCN Enterprise Service.....	28
<b>NANP Administration System .....</b>	<b>6</b>	AOCN Quality Measurements .....	28
NAS Central Office Code Administration .....	6	Entry of Paper Submissions	
Applying Online for Other Numbering Resources .....	7	of Resource Applications .....	28
NANP Notification System.....	7	Entry of Paper NRUF Submissions .....	28
NAS NRUF .....	7	NANPA Testimony in State Regulatory Hearings .....	28
NAS Reports.....	8	Customized Reports.....	28
NAS User Registration.....	8	Financial Results.....	29
<b>Code Administration.....</b>	<b>9</b>	INC Participation .....	29
Overview .....	9	NANPA Website.....	30
Resource Report – NPA Codes .....	9	NANPA Newsletters.....	31
2010 Activities.....	10	Support for NANP Countries Other than the U.S.....	31
Overlays .....	12	Support to the FCC,	
Dialing Plans .....	12	State Commissions and the NANC.....	31
Resource Report – Central Office Codes .....	13	<b>Attachment 1 –</b>	
Central Office Code Activity .....	13	Area Code Inventory.....	33
Central Office Code Activity (Year over Year) .....	14	<b>Attachment 2 –</b>	
Central Office Code		Geographic NPAs Sorted by Location .....	34
Administration Quality Measurements.....	15	<b>Attachment 3 –</b>	
2010 Activities.....	16	Geographic NPAs Sorted Numerically .....	39
Resource Report – 5YY–NXX Codes .....	17	<b>Attachment 4 –</b>	
Resource Report – 9YY–NXX Codes .....	18	Non-Geographic NPAs in Service.....	44
Resource Report – 555 Line Numbers.....	18	<b>Attachment 5 –</b>	
Resource Report — Carrier Identification Codes .....	18	Dialing Plans .....	45
FG D CIC Activity.....	19	<b>Attachment 6 –</b>	
FG B CIC Activity.....	20	2010 NRUF and NPA Exhaust Analysis .....	53
Resource Report – N11 Codes.....	21	<b>Attachment 7 –</b>	
Resource Report – 456–NXX Codes.....	21	2010 NANP Exhaust Analysis .....	69
Resource Report – 800–855 Numbers.....	21	<b>Attachment 8 –</b>	
Resource Report – Automatic Number		Where to Find Numbering Information .....	71
Identification “II” Digits.....	21	<b>Attachment 9 –</b>	
Resource Reports – Vertical Service Codes .....	21	Contacts in the Countries Participating	
<b>NPA Relief Planning Overview.....</b>	<b>21</b>	in the North American Numbering Plan .....	73
Relief Planning Quality Measurements .....	21	<b>Attachment 10 –</b>	
Customer Survey Feedback.....	23	List of Acronyms .....	77
Relief Planning Process .....	25		

# THE NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN

---

## History

AT&T developed the North American Numbering Plan (NANP) in 1947 to simplify and facilitate direct dialing of long distance calls. NANP telephone numbers are ten-digit numbers consisting of a three-digit Numbering Plan Area (NPA) code, commonly called an area code, followed by a seven-digit local number.

The NANP is an integrated numbering plan serving nineteen North American countries that share its resources. Regulatory authorities in each participating country have plenary authority over numbering resources, but all participating countries, implicitly or explicitly, share numbering resources cooperatively. This approach has been successful for more than sixty years.

## North American Numbering Plan Administration

AT&T administered shared numbering resources such as area codes until divestiture of the Bell System in 1984, when these functions were transferred to Bellcore under the Plan of Reorganization. On October 9, 1997, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), acting on a recommendation of the North American Numbering Council (NANC), named Lockheed Martin to serve as administrator of the North American Numbering Plan (NANPA). In December of 1999 NANPA was transitioned from Lockheed Martin to Neustar. In July 2003, the FCC selected Neustar through a competitive bid to serve as NANPA for another five year term. Neustar presently serves as the NANPA under a new contract with the FCC that continues through July 2011.

Regulatory authorities in various NANP countries have named national administrators to oversee the numbering resources assigned by NANPA for use within their countries. Neustar is the national administrator for the United States (U.S.) and its territories. Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) Canada serves as the Canadian Numbering Administrator. In other participating countries, regulatory authorities either serve as the national administrator or delegate the responsibility to the dominant carrier. NANPA, in its overall coordinating role, consults with and provides assistance to those regulatory authorities and national administrators to ensure that numbering resources are used in the best interests of all participants in the NANP.

NANPA is not a policy-making entity. In making assignment decisions, NANPA follows regulatory directives and industry-developed guidelines. The NANC via its Numbering Oversight Working Group (NOWG) provides continuous oversight of NANPA on behalf of the NANC and evaluates NANPA's performance each year.

NANPA has three core responsibilities: administration of NANP resources, coordination of area code relief planning and collection of utilization and forecast data from service providers.

## NANPA Funding

The NANPA function is performed under an FCC contract on a fixed-price basis.

Costs associated with the administration of shared numbering resources are allocated to participating countries based on population and then further adjusted based on NANPA services used by each country. Participants pay only their share of the costs of the NANPA services they require. Regulatory authorities in each participating country determine how to recover these costs.

In the U.S., which pays most of the cost, NANPA is funded by the telecommunications industry under an arrangement specified in FCC rules (47 C.F.R. Section 52.17). Telecommunication carriers in the U.S. complete a Telecommunications Reporting Worksheet (FCC Form 499-A) which sets out the information needed to calculate the fee. The telecommunication carrier's end user telecommunications revenue for the prior calendar year is multiplied by a contribution factor to obtain the fee payable. The minimum fee is \$25.

## NANPA Neutrality

In accordance with FCC regulations, the NANPA shall be a non-governmental entity that is impartial and not aligned with any particular telecommunications industry segment. Accordingly, while conducting its operations, the NANPA may not be an affiliate of any telecommunications service provider(s) as defined in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. "Affiliate" is a person who controls, is controlled by, or is under the direct or indirect common control with another person. Further, the NANPA and any affiliate thereof, may not issue a majority of its debt to, nor may it derive a majority of its revenues from, any telecommunications service provider. "Majority" shall mean greater than 50 percent, and "debt" shall mean stocks, bonds, securities, notes, loans, or any other instrument of indebtedness.

Notwithstanding the neutrality criteria set forth above, the NANPA may be determined to be or not to be subject to undue influence by parties with a vested interest in the outcome of numbering administration and activities. The NANC, as a federal advisory committee to the FCC, may conduct an evaluation to determine if the NANPA meets the undue influence criterion.

# NANP ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM

---

The NANP Administration System (NAS) provides an automated system for processing number resource applications, collecting resource utilization and forecast data and issuing notifications to the industry on numbering matters. Introduced in 2004, NAS is the primary tool used by federal and state regulators, service providers, service provider consultants and the NANPA in the assignment and administration of the various NANP resources.

At the end of 2010, there were 1,450 registered NAS users. Over 1,300 users were service providers or their consultants. Sixty of the users represented federal and state regulatory users. Along with the NAS registered users, there were 2,800 mailing list participants. Mailing list participants receive NANP notifications but do not have access to NAS.

In 2010, NANPA deployed two new NAS database servers, replacing existing equipment purchased in August 2003. In addition, NANPA upgraded the operating software and application software used on all NAS servers, ensuring the system was using current versions of all required software. Finally, working in cooperation with the FCC, NANPA secured NAS hardware and software annual maintenance agreements set to expire during the second half of 2010.

Seven NAS trouble tickets were opened in 2010. Two of these tickets involved issues associated with Numbering Resource Utilization/Forecast (NRUF) reporting capabilities and the proper display of information. One issue was related to the delivery of system-generated emails. A separate issue involved the functionality associated with a URL contained within a NANP Notification System (NNS) notice. One trouble ticket was directly attributable to the NAS software upgrade that took place in November 2010. Finally, a report available on the NANPA public website failed to include all required data when downloaded into an Excel™ file. For the remaining ticket, it was determined the user was unable to submit secure FTP (File Transfer Protocol) files to NANPA due to an issue within the user's corporate network. All tickets were closed in 2010 with one exception being the issue involving the URL in the NNS notice.

Below is a discussion of the NAS functionality and how the system supports the assignment and administration of NANP resources.

## **NAS Central Office Code Administration**

NAS mechanizes central office (CO) code administration by processing the following code requests: Part 1 (Central Office Code Assignment Request form), Months to Exhaust Worksheet (required when requesting additional central office codes in a rate center) and Part 4/Part 4-PA (Confirmation of Code In-Service forms). NAS issues a Part 3 (Central Office Code Administrator's Response/Confirmation form) and a Part 5 Form, used to confirm NANPA's receipt of a Part 4. NAS allows users to complete and submit these forms on-line, as well as stores and processes these forms.

NAS auto-populates specific fields within applications with information contained in the user's profile and provides drop-down menus for certain data required on the different forms such as Operating Company Numbers (OCNs), NPAs and rate center information. System checks ensure that all required fields are populated and that the information supplied is valid prior to submission. Supporting documentation associated with an application is provided to NANPA via fax or email. Such documentation includes evidence of certification and network readiness for initial code applications, evidence of safety valve waiver approvals and documentation necessary for expedited code activations, modifications and disconnects.

Once NAS validates the application content and accepts it for processing, the applicant receives confirmation via a tracking number, indicating that the code request was successfully submitted. NAS will also permit code applicants to search for previously-submitted forms.

NAS also supports an interface with the Pooling Administration System (PAS). This interface permits the service provider to submit the information needed for a central office code request (i.e., Part 1) in a pooling rate center into PAS. PAS forwards this data to NANPA via the NAS/PAS interface. This process includes the submission of the appropriate Months-to-Exhaust Form required with any central office code growth request. Once received by NAS, the Part 1 request appears in the work item list of the NANPA Code Administrator. When the Code Administrator processes the central office code application, NAS emails the Part 3 Administrator's Response/Confirmation to the applicant and the Pooling Administrator (PA) as well as sends it via the NAS/PAS interface to PAS. The Part 4 and Part 4A (submitted by the Pooling Administrator) are also sent via the interface.

# NANP ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM

## Applying On-line for Other Numbering Resources

NAS allows on-line application submissions not only for central office codes, but also for other NANP resources such as Carrier Identification Codes (CICs), 5YY–NXX codes, 9YY–NXX codes, NPA 456–NXX codes, NPAs, 800–855 line numbers and 555 line numbers. In addition, NAS provides real-time reports on the assignment status of these numbering resources. These reports are accessible through the 'Reports' section of the NANPA website.

In 2010, NPA 544 was identified as the next 5YY NPA to relieve the 500 and 533 NPAs. As a result, NAS was modified to accept NXX code applications for the 544 NPA and provide reports on 500–NXX, 533–NXX and 544–NXX assignments.

## NANP Notification System

The NANP Notification System (NNS) provides a vehicle for NANPA to issue notifications when significant events occur. Notifications fall under two categories: Geographic and Non-Geographic Notifications. Geographic Notifications are those issued for documents that have been generated for specific states and/or NPAs. Non-Geographic Notifications are those that relate to the entire NANP and are not related to a specific state or NPA.

### Geographic notifications available to the public:

- New processes and changes in central office code administration that affect specific states and/or NPAs;
- NPAs going into or out of jeopardy status or other changes to the jeopardy status of an NPA;
- Announcements by state regulators of changes that affect NANP processing; and
- Data related to the status of resources associated with state conservation deliberations.

### Non-geographic notifications available to the public:

- Changes in Industry Numbering Committee (INC) administration guidelines;
- Updates on the NRUF Form 502 and associated job aids, as well as procedural changes (such as the introduction of new data fields);
- Changes to NANPA processes that will affect customers;
- NANPA Planning Letters and quarterly Newsletters;
- International activities impacting the NANP and NANP Administration;
- New and/or revised NPA and NANP exhaust projections;
- Scheduled system maintenance and system availability issues; and
- Client education, new forms and tools.

In addition to sending notices, NAS also has the capability to include attachments to the notices, allowing NANPA to send certain documentation (e.g., quarterly NANPA Newsletters) directly to users. NAS also permits users to search for specific notices based upon a particular time period. Notifications concerning NPA relief planning activity remain limited to only the service provider industry and appropriate regulatory agencies.

NANPA distributed 137 notifications in 2010. The chart below illustrates the quantity of notifications distributed by category. All notifications are retained in NAS.

Notification Category	Number of Notifications
NPA Relief Planning	64
Planning Letters	19
Other Non-Geographic	17
Code Administration	14
INC Guidelines	9
NRUF	8
Newsletters	4
Jeopardy	2
Other Geographic	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>137</b>

## NAS NRUF

NRUF reporting is a semi-annual process whereby service providers submit utilization and forecast information to NANPA for use in the development of NPA and NANP exhaust projections. NANPA collects and stores this information and provides it to the FCC and state commissions. Service providers are required to report by February 1 and August 1 of each year. Service providers may submit updates and corrections to their submissions at any time during the current reporting cycle.

NAS permits service providers to submit their utilization and forecast data via email (i.e., Excel™ spreadsheet), Electronic File Transfer (EFT) using secure FTP, compact disk (CD) and on-line. With the on-line method, service providers log into NAS and enter the data requested in the various worksheets contained in the NRUF Form 502. In addition, as many service providers have the need to submit NRUF data between reporting cycles, NAS permits service providers to update or modify previously-submitted utilization and forecast data for the current

# NANP ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM

---

reporting cycle. This on-line capability is also used for reporting utilization and forecast data for the non-geographic 5YY and 9YY NPAs.

In November 2010, NANPA implemented Change Order 18, which required NANPA to compare thousands-block (NPA–NXX–X) assignment data obtained from the Pooling Administrator with the utilization data collected from service providers during the semi-annual NRUF reporting process. Through this comparison, NAS identifies assigned thousands-blocks for which no utilization data was provided, allowing NANPA to contact the service provider to which the thousands-block is assigned and request that it submit appropriate utilization information. NAS also identifies those instances where a service provider indicates on their NRUF submission that a specific thousands-block was donated to the PA but the PA assignment data shows the thousands-block is assigned to the service provider.

The following enhancements were implemented applicable to NANPA Change Order 18. These enhancements impact all NAS registrants with NRUF as a resource subscription.

- The Utilization Missing Report under NRUF Reports was enhanced to display thousands-blocks (NPA–NXX–Xs) as well as central office codes (NPA–NXXs) for which NRUF utilization was not reported for the applicable NRUF cycle.
- The new Donation Discrepancy Report under NRUF Reports identifies a specific thousands-block that is marked as “donated” on the NRUF submission but is shown as assigned in the PA assignment data to the same OCN that indicated the thousands-block was donated.

Central office code and thousands-block assignments as of the last day of the NRUF cycle (June 30 for the NRUF due August 1 or December 31 for the NRUF due February 1) are used in creating the Utilization Missing Report and Donation Discrepancy Report.

## **NAS Reports**

NAS provides a number of real-time reports concerning NANP resource assignment and availability, including central office codes, CICs, 5YY NXXs, 9YY NXXs and 555 line numbers. These reports are available on the NANPA website.

In addition to resource availability, NAS permits both service providers and regulators access to numerous NRUF queries and reports. Information provided in

these queries is driven by the user’s NAS profile. Service providers only have access to their own information, while state regulators have access to utilization and forecast data for the area codes in their respective states.

## **NAS User Registration**

All users of NAS are required to register in the system. The user registration process allows a user to select from a variety of resource subscriptions depending on the user’s needs.

There are different types of users of NAS, including U.S. service providers, non-U.S. service providers, consultants authorized to request numbering resources on behalf of a service provider, federal and state regulators and other individuals or entities with a valid interest in number administration matters. For each user type, specific NAS capabilities are available for use. These capabilities include the ability to 1) submit requests for central office codes (Central Office Code Administration), 2) access NRUF capabilities, 3) register for various geographic and non-geographic notifications, 4) submit applications for other NANP resources such as CICs, 5YY NXXs, 9YY NXXs, 456 NXXs, 800–855 line numbers and 555 line numbers and 5) submit Part 4 In-Service Confirmation forms (reclamation).

All registration requests are reviewed and validated prior to approval. Once NANPA approves the registration request, the user is issued a password. Once registered in NAS, the user is able to update and modify their profile.

NAS has been engineered with numerous security features. NAS has specified time intervals a user must log into the system after their profile has been approved or system access will be denied. Users are required to update their NAS passwords every 180 days. Anytime a user contacts NANPA to re-enable their profile, the user will receive a new password that must be reset by the user within 14 calendar days of when the profile was enabled. If an existing NAS user fails to reset the password, the NAS profile will be suspended. NAS will continue to send NNS notices to the user whose profile is suspended, but no other NAS-generated work item-related emails will be sent to the user. Nor will the user have access to NAS. The user will receive weekly reminders to contact NANPA to reset the NAS password. If the user fails to contact NANPA within 90 days of the date the NAS account is suspended, the profile will automatically be disabled and the user will cease to receive NNS notices.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

---

## Overview

Code administration includes receiving and processing applications for assignment, making and recording assignments, reclaiming resources that are not placed into service, updating information associated with assigned resources and keeping the industry informed as the supply of available resources approaches exhaust. The scope of code administration includes these numbering resources:

- Numbering plan area (NPA) codes (area codes);
- Central office (NXX) codes;
- PCS 5YY codes;
- 9YY–NXX codes;
- N11 codes;
- 555–XXXX line numbers;
- Carrier identification codes (CICs);
- International inbound NPA 456–NXX codes;
- 800–855–XXXX line numbers;
- ANI II digits (Automatic Number Identification Information Integers); and
- Vertical service codes.

Subsequent sections of this report discuss each of these resources in greater detail.

## Resource Report – NPA Codes

**Contact:** John Manning, 571–434–5770

NPA codes, often called “area codes,” are the first three digits of the 10-digit NANP telephone number. NPA codes are in NXX format, where N is any digit from 2 through 9 and X is any digit from 0 through 9. Attachment 1 to this annual report provides an inventory of NPA codes.

Most NPA codes designate specific geographic areas; for example, NPA 406 serves Montana and NPA 843 covers a portion of South Carolina. NPA codes used in this manner are called geographic NPA codes. As of December 31, 2010, 341 geographic NPA codes were in service. Of these, 293 serve the U.S. and its territories, 29 serve Canada, and the remaining 19 serve Bermuda and the Caribbean countries participating in the North American Numbering Plan. Attachments 2 and 3 to this annual report are tables of geographic NPA codes currently in use, sorted by location and numerically.

Other NPA codes designate special services such as toll-free calling rather than geographic areas. These codes are called non-geographic NPA codes. Normally, NPA codes ending in a repeating digit, called “easily recognizable

codes,” are used to identify toll-free or other special services. Currently 13 such codes are in use. Two new non-geographic NPA codes went into service in 2010. NPA 855 was put into service in relief of the toll free area codes and NPA 544 in relief of the PCS 5YY NPAs. Attachment 4 lists the non-geographic NPA codes currently in service.

Introduction of a new geographic NPA code follows a plan and schedule approved by regulatory authorities. The plan is summarized in one or more planning letters on the NANPA website. Once an NPA code is assigned for a geographic area or special service, an implementation period follows. The most visible implementation activities include preparing the network to accept the new NPA code, introducing any required changes to the dialing plan and informing the public about how the new code is to be used. The new code is said to be “in service” when it becomes generally dialable.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

## 2010 Activities

Eight (8) new NPA codes were introduced in 2010, as shown in the table below.

**Table 1: NPAs introduced in 2010**

NPA	Date In Service	Location	Overlay?	Parent NPA	Planning Letter Number(s)	NPA Overlay Complex
458	2/10/10	Oregon	Yes	541	383	541/458
470	2/26/10	Georgia	Yes	678	269	404/770/678/470*
343	5/17/10	Ontario, Canada	Yes	613	386	613/343
938	7/10/10	Alabama	Yes	256	389	256/938
534	8/14/10	Wisconsin	Yes	715	384	715/534
579	8/21/10	Quebec, Canada	Yes	450	401 395	450/579
855	10/09/10	Non-Geographic	Yes	800	412 407R1 197	800/888/877/866/855
544	12/15/10	Non-Geographic	Yes	500	416 411 406	500/533/544

\*NPAs 404 and 770 do not overlay each other.

Seven (7) new NPAs were assigned this past year. NPA 539 was assigned as the relief area code for the Oklahoma NPA 918. NPA 929 was assigned to relieve the New York 347/718 NPA complex. NPA 873 was assigned to relieve NPA 819 in Quebec, Canada. NPA 365 was assigned to relieve the NPA 289/905 overlay complex in Ontario, Canada. NPA 272 was assigned to relieve NPA 570 in Pennsylvania. NPA 431 was assigned as the relief area code for the Manitoba, Canada 204 NPA. And finally, NPA 582 was assigned to relieve the Pennsylvania 814 NPA.

Two area codes previously assigned to relieve existing geographic area codes were returned to the NPA inventory. In both instances, implementation of NPA relief was dismissed by the state regulatory authority. As such, these area codes will be retained as reserved, future NPAs unless otherwise directed by the appropriate state commission.

As of December 31, 2010, 37 previously-assigned NPA codes remained to be introduced, as shown in Table 2. The “status” column provides the key to understanding the table. A status of “pending” indicates that the regulatory authority has yet to determine an in-service date for the new code. Typically this means that the new NPA will not be introduced until additional numbers are needed. A status of “suspended” indicates that the regulatory authority has placed the plan for introducing the new code on hold and that the plan may be canceled or revised in the future. “Scheduled” means a specific in-service date has been identified for the new NPA.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

**Table 2: NPAs planned but not yet introduced**

New NPA	Location	Country	Anticipated In Service Date	Parent NPA	Status	Planning Letter Number(s)
227	Maryland	US		301/240	Pending	
249	Ontario	Canada	3/19/2011	705	Scheduled	414 398R1
272	Pennsylvania	US		570	Pending	409
274	Wisconsin	US	2/22/2014	920	Scheduled	417 385
283	Ohio	US		513	Suspended	316 286 264
327	Arkansas	US	5/18/2013	870	Scheduled	400
365	Ontario	Canada	3/25/2013	289/905	Scheduled	
369	California	US		707	Suspended	238 210
380	Ohio	US		614	Suspended	317 297 290
431	Manitoba	Canada	11/03/2012	204	Scheduled	
447	Illinois	US		217	Pending	
464	Illinois	US		708	Pending	195
531	Nebraska	US	3/26/2011	402	Scheduled	410 397 393
539	Oklahoma	US	4/01/2011	918	Scheduled	403
557	Missouri	US		314	Suspended	303 279 261
564	Washington	US		206, 253, 360, 425	Suspended	298 239 196
582	Pennsylvania	US		814	Pending	
627	California	US		707	Suspended	238 210
628	California	US		415	Suspended	206 191
659	Alabama	US		205	Pending	289 284
667	Maryland	US		410/443	Pending	299 266
669	California	US		408	Suspended	206 149
679	Michigan	US		313	Pending	227 209
689	Florida	US		407	Suspended	325 323
721	Sint Maarten	Sint Maarten			Pending	404 396
730	Illinois	US		618	Pending	
737	Texas	US		512	Suspended	276 233
764	California	US		650	Suspended	206 193
822	NANP area			800	Pending	214
833	NANP area			800	Pending	214
844	NANP area			800	Pending	214
873	Quebec	Canada	6/01/2013	819	Scheduled	405
929	New York	US	4/16/2011	347/718	Scheduled	402
935	California	US		619	Suspended	230 128
959	Connecticut	US		860	Pending	255 217
975	Missouri	US		816	Suspended	304 280 262
984	North Carolina	US		919	Pending	306 271

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

## Overlays

In an overlay, two or more NPA codes serve all or part of the same geographic area. The term “overlay complex” describes the list of NPA codes included in the overlay. All of the overlays in service today are full-service overlays; that is, numbers in the overlay NPA code(s) are not restricted to any specific service or services. Six (6) new overlays were introduced in 2010. Listed in Table 3 are the overlay complexes in service as of December 31, 2010.

**Table 3: NPA Overlays**

Location	Overlay Complex
Alabama	256-938*
Alberta	403-780-587
British Columbia	250-604-778
California	310-424
California	714-657
California	760-442
California	818-747
Colorado	303-720
Connecticut	203-475
Dominican Republic	809-829-849
Florida	305-786
Florida	407-321
Florida	954-754
Georgia	404-770-678-470*
Georgia	706-762
Illinois	312-773-872
Illinois	630-331
Illinois	815-779
Illinois	847-224
Maryland	301-240
Maryland	410-443
Massachusetts	508-774
Massachusetts	617-857
Massachusetts	781-339
Massachusetts	978-351
Michigan	248-947
Mississippi	601-769
New Jersey	201-551
New Jersey	732-848
New Jersey	973-862

New York	212-646-917
New York	718-347-917
North Carolina	704-980
Ohio	330-234
Ohio	419-567
Ontario	613-343*
Ontario	416-647
Ontario	519-226
Ontario	905-289
Oregon	503-971
Oregon	541-458*
Pennsylvania	215-267
Pennsylvania	412-724-878
Pennsylvania	610-484
Puerto Rico	787-939
Quebec	418-581
Quebec	450-579*
Quebec	514-438
Texas	214-469-972
Texas	713-281-832
Texas	817-682
Texas	903-430
Utah	801-385
Virginia	703-571
West Virginia	304-681
Wisconsin	715-534*

\*NPAs 404 and 770 do not overlay each other.

## Dialing Plans

Each NPA has a basic dialing plan, which indicates the dialing pattern to be used for various types of calls originating in that NPA. In the U.S., dialing plans vary from state to state and from NPA to NPA. Basic dialing plans for U.S. NPAs are listed in Attachment 5 to this annual report.

Key variables in determining a dialing pattern are 1) whether or not the call originates and terminates within the same NPA, 2) whether the call is a local or toll call and 3) whether the call requires special handling (e.g., credit card, third-party billing, or operator assistance). Dialing patterns in the U.S. have been largely standardized. Local calls originating and terminating within the same NPA are usually dialed on a seven-digit basis, omitting the NPA code, except in overlay areas where the NPA code must be

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

---

dialed. Toll calls originating in one NPA and terminating in another are usually dialed with a prefix “1” followed by the ten-digit number. Special handling calls are always dialed with a prefix “0” followed by the ten-digit number.

Most of the variations in basic dialing plans involve toll calls originating and terminating within the same NPA (home NPA toll calls) and local calls originating in one NPA and terminating in another NPA (foreign NPA local calls). In states where the prefix “1” is considered to be a toll indicator, home NPA toll calls are usually dialed as “1” followed by the ten-digit number, and foreign NPA local calls are dialed using the ten-digit number without a prefix. In states where the prefix “1” is used to indicate that a ten-digit number will follow, home NPA toll calls are dialed using just the seven-digit number and foreign NPA local calls are dialed as “1” followed by the ten-digit number.

Dialing patterns within an NPA also may vary according to service provider capabilities. In addition, in many areas where NPA boundaries split local calling areas, state regulatory commissions and service provider tariffs allow seven-digit dialing across NPA boundaries, including across state lines.

## Resource Report – Central Office Codes

**Contact:** Beth Sprague, 571-434-5513

Central office (CO) codes, also known as prefixes, exchanges, or NXX codes, are digits 4 through 6 of the 10-digit telephone number. The following discussion addresses central office codes within geographic area codes.

NANPA administers all geographic central office codes in the U.S. and its territories. The Canadian Numbering Administrator performs this function in Canada. In the remaining NANP countries, regulatory authorities are playing an increasingly active role in central office code administration as competition emerges in these countries. Contact information for regulatory and administrative personnel can be found in Attachment 9 to this annual report.

Service providers obtain numbers for their customers by applying for and receiving central office code assignments. Each central office code contains 10,000 numbers, for use in the area the code serves. Central office code requests also come through the Pooling Administrator for 1) the assignment of a Location Routing Number (LRN), 2) replenishing the supply of available thousands-blocks or 3) the dedication to a

single customer. NANPA tracks over 145,000 assigned central office codes in the U.S. and its territories. NANPA processed over 12,000 requests in 2010 (same as in 2009) for central office code assignments, code returns or changes to existing assignments.

The FCC, in its Number Resource Optimization (NRO) order series, established detailed criteria for the assignment of initial and growth central office codes in the U.S. and its territories. The process of applying for a central office code assignment based on FCC rules and regulations is specified in guidelines developed by the industry. The latest version of the guidelines can be found at the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>.

## Central Office Code Activity

Central office (CO) code monthly application and assignment activities during 2010 are shown in Table 4.

The rows in the table should be interpreted as follows:

**Assignments** – Applications that resulted in the assignment of a new central office code.

**Changes** – Applications that resulted in a change to the information associated with a code assignment, for example, the OCN or switch.

**Denials** – Applications not meeting the criteria for assignment as prescribed by the FCC and embodied in the central office code assignment guidelines.

**Cancellations** – Applications canceled or withdrawn by the applicant. These applications are not counted in the total quantity of applications processed.

**Canceled Disconnects** – Applications requesting the return (disconnect) of an assigned code that were canceled after NANPA issued the Part 3 approving the return.

**Disconnects** – Applications requesting the return (disconnect) of an assigned code.

**Reservations** – Applications requesting and receiving a code reservation.

**Total Processed** – Total quantity of applications processed by NANPA.

**Pooling Pass-Thru** – Applications processed by NANPA that came through the Pooling Administrator.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

**Table 4: 2010 Monthly CO Code Activity**

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
<b>Assignments</b>	250	277	352	138	162	278	220	209	247	254	234	174	2,795
<b>Changes</b>	220	568	563	1,194	563	1,440	542	1,021	711	375	576	430	8,203
<b>Denials</b>	98	75	112	52	46	56	18	79	41	37	75	103	792
<b>Cancellations (Note 1)</b>	23	25	48	12	10	29	8	12	23	13	41	20	264
<b>Canceled Disconnects (Note 1)</b>	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
<b>Disconnects</b>	16	44	20	17	18	32	24	30	23	37	22	27	310
<b>Reservations</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Processed</b>	584	964	1,047	1,401	789	1,806	804	1,339	1,022	703	907	734	12,100
<b>Pooling Pass-Thru</b>	359	559	655	617	314	1,153	475	660	679	495	481	489	6,936

*Note 1 – Applications that are canceled are not included in the total quantity of applications processed.*

While the total quantity of applications processed in 2010 (12,100) was the same as in 2009, the number of CO code assignments was up by over 600 codes. Further, the quantity of code change requests as well as denied applications in 2010 was roughly the same as in 2009. For 2010, the quantity of code disconnects (310) continued to decrease year over year (534 in 2009 and 784 in 2008).

Beginning in late 2004, NANPA was directed by the FCC to assist in certain aspects of the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996. Specifically, NANPA was directed to withhold assignment of numbering resources to an entity identified by the FCC as delinquent in their payments to the Commission. In 2010, no central office code assignment requests were denied by NANPA in compliance with this requirement.

### Central Office Code Activity (Year Over Year)

NANPA also tracks year over year assignment data to identify any trends in CO code assignment rates. Table 5 shows the total quantity of CO codes assigned over the last ten years. Also included is the net demand for the year, reflecting the total number of codes assigned less the number of codes returned.

**Table 5: Year Over Year CO Code Assignments**

Year	Annual Gross CO Code Demand	Annual Net CO Code Demand
<b>2001</b>	10,398	4,304
<b>2002</b>	7,178	3,574
<b>2003</b>	3,245	1,457
<b>2004</b>	3,128	2,144
<b>2005</b>	3,312	2,307
<b>2006</b>	4,079	3,413
<b>2007</b>	3,216	2,467
<b>2008</b>	2,946	2,162
<b>2009</b>	2,144	1,610
<b>2010</b>	2,795	2,484

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

## Central Office Code

### Administration Quality Measurements

Central office code administration quality results for 2010 are summarized in Table 6. A detailed description of the quality measurements follows.

The table shows three primary measurements:

**Application processing** – NANPA is required to process central office code applications within seven (7) calendar days. The table shows the percentage of applications processed within 7 calendar days, the number of applications exceeding the 7 calendar day period and, for those applications requiring more than 7 calendar days, the “average number of days late.” The results in the table show uniform, high quality processing.

### Codes assigned without a code conflict or reject –

A ‘Code Conflict’ occurs when a code assigned by NANPA cannot be placed into service due to a dialing conflict. A ‘Code Reject’ occurs when a code assigned by NANPA must be replaced because the code originally assigned cannot be placed into service.

**Telephone calls** – Code Administrators are required to return telephone calls by no later than the end of the next business day. The table shows the percentage of telephone calls returned during the required period along with the “average days late” for calls returned outside of the required period.

**Table 6: 2010 CO Code Administration Quality Results**

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Percent of central office code applications processed in 7 calendar days</b>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of applications exceeding 7 calendar days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average days late for applications exceeding 7 calendar days	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Percent of central office codes assigned without code reject or conflict</b>	99.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
A. CO code rejects (Note 1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B. CO code conflicts	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Percent of administrator phone calls returned by end of next business day</b>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Total number of administrator calls	71	72	72	70	58	53	48	50	48	70	60	55
Average days late for phone calls returned late	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

*Note 1 – In 2009, a code exchange initiated by a service provider was removed from this measurement.*

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

---

## 2010 Activities

Below is a summary of central office code administration activities in 2010.

**Avoiding Potential Code Conflicts** – In February, NAS was updated to make all NPAs within a state shown as ‘available’ for assignment as a central office code in other NPAs within the state ‘unavailable’ for assignment. This modification was made to avoid potential code conflicts resulting from 7-digit dialing arrangements. Over 1,500 NPA–NXXs were made unavailable for assignment.

**Rate Center Changes** – Throughout 2010, NANPA distributed numerous notices concerning rate center additions/consolidations/changes. States involved in these activities included Nebraska, Oregon, Florida, North Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Florida and Washington.

**Assignment of CO Codes for LRNs** – NANPA assisted numerous service providers and state regulators in the transfer of a central office code from one service provider to another service provider in need of a code for a Location Routing Number (LRN). This effort included NANPA identifying individual codes with low utilization that did not serve as an LRN (as well as meeting the other conditions described in the Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines, ATIS–0300051) and coordinating between the involved service providers in transferring the identified code. Through this effort, the assignment of a CO code is avoided, thus delaying the exhaust of an area code.

**Managing Jeopardies** – When the supply of codes in a particular NPA is at risk of exhausting before a new area code or other relief measure can be introduced, NANPA declares “jeopardy” in that NPA. When jeopardy is declared, code allocations are initially set at 3 codes per month. The industry, with the assistance of NANPA Code Administration and NPA Relief Planning, develops local industry jeopardy procedure options at a meeting convened by NANPA. Once determined, local jeopardy procedures are posted on the NANPA website, [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com).

At the end of 2010, 17 NPAs were in jeopardy. Four area codes were removed from the list of jeopardy NPAs as NPA relief was implemented or circumstances changed such that jeopardy was rescinded.

**Reclamation** – Each central office code assignment has an associated “effective date” when the code will be placed in service. The assignment guidelines require that the code be placed in service no later than six months

after the original effective date. The assignee confirms that the code is in service by submitting a Part 4 to NANPA. NANPA responds to the code applicant by sending the “Administrator’s Response – Receipt of the Part 4.” If a Part 4 has not been received by NANPA during the first five months following the original effective date, NANPA will send a reminder notice to the code assignee.

NANPA tracks code assignment effective dates and, if the Part 4 is not received within the six-month period following the effective date, the code is considered to be delinquent and NANPA notifies the appropriate regulatory authority. The FCC NRO orders delegated authority to the states to determine whether or not delinquent codes should be reclaimed. The FCC makes reclamation decisions for those states that decided not to participate in the process. The NANPA website provides detailed information about the reclamation process, including contact information for each participating state and the FCC.

To measure reclamation effectiveness, NANPA monitors the percentage of delinquent codes on which it begins the reclamation process, along with the number of codes recovered each month. The recovery of a code must be directed by the appropriate regulatory authority. NANPA also monitors the reclamation lists provided to the states/FCC to ensure there are no errors or discrepancies. Table 7 reflects the reclamation activity in 2010.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

**Table 7: 2010 CO Code Reclamation Quality Results**

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Percentage of applicable codes on which reclamation was initiated</b>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Number of codes for which a Part 4 was not rec'd 180 days after NANPA effective date (Note 1)	21	24	43	13	9	15	21	12	9	38	72	26
Number of codes on which reclamation started late	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Codes recovered (Note 2)	0	1	0	5	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	0
Number of Reclamation Discrepancies Reported by State Commission(s) Regarding Monthly Reclamation List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

*Note 1: Quantity of codes for which NANPA did not receive a Part 4 in service confirmation 180 days after the original effective date.*

*Note 2: This measurement shows the number of codes recovered through the reclamation process (the state or FCC directed NANPA to reclaim the code).*

## Resource Report – 5YY–NXX Codes

**Contact:** Nancy Fears, 830–632–5979

NANPA assigns 5YY–NXX codes to carriers that provide personal communications service (PCS) to customers. The assignment guidelines, which may be downloaded from the ATIS website (<http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>), define personal communications service as:

...a set of capabilities that allows some combination of personal mobility, terminal mobility, and service profile management. It enables each personal communication service user to participate in a user-defined set of subscribed services, and to initiate and/or receive calls on the basis of some combination of a personal number, terminal number, and a service profile across multiple networks at any terminal, fixed or mobile, irrespective of geographic location. Service is limited only by terminal and network capabilities and restrictions imposed by the personal communication service provider.

It should be noted that the 5YY resource is not portable; the NXX identifies the service provider.

There were two 5YY NPAs in-service for most of 2010: NPAs 500 and 533. In December 2010, with the exhaust of the 500 and 533 resources, NANPA initiated the assignment of NXX codes from the 544 NPA.

During 2010, NANPA assigned 717 new 5YY–NXX codes (yielding an average assignment rate of nearly 60 codes per month). When compared with the total assignments in 2009 (260 codes), the quantity of assignments in 2010 represents a 175% increase in the number of 5YY–NXX assignments.

At the end of 2010, a total of 1,605 NXX codes were assigned. No 5YY–NXX codes were reclaimed/returned in 2010 and 768 codes remained available for assignment (all 544–NXXs). Twenty-seven 5YY–NXX codes are not available for assignment (5YY–555 and all 5YY–N11). Based on NRUF forecast data and assignment information, NANPA projects the need for multiple 5YY NXXs over the next few years.

In 2010, NANPA issued three planning letters addressing the status of the 5YY resource and its projected exhaust time frame as well as announcing the initiation of 544–NXX assignments.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

---

NANPA continues to provide information concerning assignments, updates and reclamations for inclusion in the Telcordia™ LERG™ Routing Guide. NANPA also solicits trouble reporting contact information for 5YY–NXX assignments and forwards the information to the Next Generation Interconnection Interoperability Forum (NGIIF) as required.

## Resource Report – 9YY–NXX Codes

**Contact:** Nancy Fears, 830–632–5979

9YY numbers are used for premium services, with the cost of each 9YY call billed to the calling party. NANPA assigns these numbers according to industry-developed assignment guidelines that may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>.

During 2010, there were eight (8) new 900–NXX assignments and no codes were reclaimed/returned.

Forty-seven (47) 900–NXX codes were not available for assignment as of December 31, 2010. These include 900–N11 (8) and 39 codes reserved for Canadian use.

At the end of 2010, a total of 123 900–NXX assignments were in effect. The number of 900–NXX codes available for assignment was 630. With the quantity of available 900 NXX codes, exhaust of the 900 NPA is not an issue at this time.

NANPA continues to provide information about assignments, updates and reclamations for inclusion in the LERG Routing Guide. NANPA also solicits trouble reporting contact information for 900–NXX assignments and forwards the information to the NGIIF as required.

## Resource Report – 555 Line Numbers

**Contact:** Nancy Fears, 830–632–5979

The intended use for 555 line numbers, in the format 555–XXXX, where X is any digit from 0 through 9, includes the provisioning of information services, but may grow to include a broad range of existing and future services as well. Assignment of 555 line numbers began in August 1994. NANPA assigns these numbers according to industry-developed assignment guidelines that may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>.

During 2010, there were 27 new 555 national line numbers assigned by NANPA and 8 national line number assignments were reclaimed.

At the end of 2010, a total of 7,600 national assignments and 386 non-national line number assignments (291

actual line numbers, assigned to one or more assignees in one or more NPAs) were in effect. In addition, 116 line numbers remain in “dispute” status and 100 line numbers are reserved for the entertainment/advertising industries. At year end, 1,893 555 line numbers were available for assignment.

As of the end of 2010, seventeen (17) 555 line number assignments have been identified by NANPA as “abandoned” (555 line numbers assigned to individuals or companies whose telephone and fax numbers are no longer in service and letters sent via courier service to these individuals or companies were returned to NANPA as undeliverable).

The current assignment trend indicates no concern for the exhaust of the 555 resource.

## Resource Report – Carrier Identification Codes

**Contact:** Nancy Fears, 830–632–5979

Carrier Identification Codes (CICs) are four-digit codes used to route and bill telephone traffic. Typically, an entity acquires a CIC assignment by purchasing Feature Group B (FG B) or Feature Group D (FG D) access from an access service provider. NANPA also assigns FG D CICs to “switchless resellers” without the requirement to purchase direct FG D trunk access before applying for a CIC.

In 2006, changes to the Carrier Identification Code (CIC) Assignment Guidelines, ATIS-0300050, allowed billing and collection clearinghouses (“BC clearinghouses”) to obtain FG D and “matching” FG B CICs without the requirement to purchase direct access. A “BC clearinghouse” is only allowed to apply for a CIC under circumstances when the use of an ABEC (Alternate Billing Exchange Code) is not permitted as an identifier and/or when the use of an ABEC has been determined as technically non-feasible.

In the U.S., all applicants apply to NANPA directly for CIC assignments (via NAS). If the applicant is a long distance carrier, the access provider must separately provide NANPA with a copy of the Access Service Request (ASR) to verify that FG D trunk access has been ordered. If the CIC applicant is a Local Exchange Carrier (LEC), incumbent LEC (ILEC) or competitive LEC (CLEC), a copy of the authorization from a state regulatory commission granting the applicant authority must separately be provided to NANPA in support of their CIC application. If the applicant is a switchless reseller, it must separately provide NANPA with documentation that validates “switchless reseller” status. State regulatory commission certification is required unless the state does not issue switchless reseller certification. If the state does not issue

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

---

such certification, a written statement by an officer of the applicant company will be accepted to verify “switchless reseller” status. In Canada, companies apply for CICs to the Canadian Numbering Administrator (CNA), who verifies that Canadian regulatory requirements have been met. The CNA then submits the application to NANPA via NAS on behalf of the applicant.

Industry-consensus guidelines for the administration of CICs may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>. The assignment guidelines encourage LECs providing FG B and/or FG D access service, particularly LECs with more than 30 CICs programmed in their switches, to submit Access Provider semi-annual CIC access/usage reports to NANPA for analysis.

Information contained in these reports serves as the basis for NANPA’s reclamation of CICs in an ongoing effort to avoid exhaust of the resource. If no access provider reports access/usage for a given CIC, NANPA initiates reclamation procedures. All CIC assignees, including switchless resellers and “BC clearinghouses”, are expected to submit semi-annual Entity Access/Usage reports to NANPA. These reports demonstrate whether access or usage has been established as well as document that assigned CICs are being used in accordance with the CIC Assignment Guidelines. To initiate reclamation, a letter (sent via certified mail or by courier service for delivery verification purposes) advises the assignee of record that trunk access/usage must be established with an access provider within 60 days from the date of the letter, or, alternatively, the assignee of record must have the access service provider supply NANPA with verification that trunk access/usage was previously established (this allows a reporting error to be detected before reclamation of a CIC is finalized). At the end of the 60-day period, if the requisite information regarding trunk access/usage has not been provided, the CIC is reclaimed. In some cases, the Post Office or courier service returns NANPA’s reclamation letter as “undeliverable.” In these cases, NANPA advises the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) of the inability to contact the assignee, that no trunk access/usage is being reported and that the CIC will be reclaimed and made available for reassignment following the idle period required by the guidelines (12 months), unless the INC directs otherwise.

Maintaining accurate assignment records and entity contact information is an ongoing challenge for NANPA due to abandoned CICs and the high volume of mergers, acquisitions, asset purchases and bankruptcies that

occur in the telecommunications industry. Obtaining documentation on and verification of these activities is often difficult, but crucial to the integrity of information contained in the CIC assignment databases.

## **FG D CIC Activity**

During 2010, NANPA assigned 54 new FG D CICs, yielding an average assignment rate of 4.5 codes per month. US/Canadian switchless resellers received 12 of these assignments. Just as important, NANPA continued its concerted effort in 2010 to investigate and reclaim FG D CICs that were “abandoned” (assigned to companies no longer in business and/or not in service). Our efforts resulted in the return/reclamation of 61 FG D CICs.

223 codes from the entire FG D CIC resource are not available for assignment. These include CICs 9000–9199, which are available to all carriers for intranetwork use only. Also included are CICs 0000 and 5000, used exclusively for testing, 0911 and twenty CICs in the formats X411 and 411X, which have been marked unassignable at the direction of the FCC.

At the end of 2010, 2,015 FG D CICs were assigned in total, leaving 7,762 FG D CICs available for assignment. Based on the 2010 average monthly assignment rate, the projected exhaust for the FG D CIC resource is over 100 years. It should be noted that reclaimed/returned FG D CIC assignments are not factored into this projection and that this projection is based on current circumstances; i.e., the FCC limit of 2 FG D CICs per “entity.”

For 2010, NANPA identified 173 FG D CICs as “abandoned” (CICs assigned to companies no longer in business, or CICs assigned to companies that have sold assets to other entities, or companies that have been acquired by other entities through mergers/acquisitions). These CICs are now listed in NANPA’s records with no valid contact information. The assignee of these CICs and/or the companies that have acquired the CIC assignee company(ies) have failed to adhere to the CIC Assignment Guidelines by providing NANPA with legal documentation of the activities described in this paragraph. NANPA has been unable to reclaim these “abandoned” CICs since activity (FG D access and/or usage) appeared on access providers’ 2010 semi-annual CIC reports.

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

**Table 8: Monthly FG D assignments, denials and reclamations**

Month	Assigned	Reclaimed/Returned Codes	Applications Denied	Applications Withdrawn
January	2	3	0	4
February	4	4	1	2
March	7	4	2	4
April	7	6	3	3
May	7	4	0	2
June	3	8	0	3
July	1	1	0	3
August	6	6	1	4
September	3	10	0	0
October	4	0	2	1
November	4	11	2	2
December	6	4	4	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>31</b>

### FG B CIC Activity

During 2010, 4 FG B CICs were assigned by NANPA and 7 FG B CICS were returned/reclaimed. At the end of 2010, 272 FG B CICs were assigned in total. The potential exhaust of the FG B CIC resource is not a concern based on the current rate of assignment.

As of the end of 2010, NANPA had identified 56 FG B CICs as “abandoned” (CICs assigned to companies no longer in business, or CICs assigned to companies that have sold assets to other entities, or companies that have been

acquired by other entities through mergers/acquisitions). These CICs are now listed in NANPA’s records with no valid contact information. The assignee of these CICs and/or the companies that have acquired the CIC assignee company(ies) have failed to adhere to the CIC Assignment Guidelines by providing NANPA with legal documentation of the activities described in this paragraph. NANPA has been unable to reclaim these “abandoned” CICs since activity (FG B usage and/or access) appeared on access providers’ 2010 semi-annual CIC reports.

**Table 9: Monthly FG B assignments, denials and reclamations**

Month	Assigned	Reclaimed/Returned Codes	Applications Denied	Applications Withdrawn
January	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0
March	1	2	0	0
April	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	2
June	0	0	0	1
July	0	0	0	0
August	0	2	0	0
September	0	1	0	0
October	0	0	0	0
November	0	1	0	0
December	3	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

# CODE ADMINISTRATION

## Resource Report – N11 Codes

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

N11 codes, listed with their descriptions in the table below, are the only valid three-digit telephone numbers in the NANP.

The FCC administers N11 codes in the U.S., pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) administers N11 codes in Canada. It should be noted that 411 and 611, although long used for the purposes indicated in the table below, have not been formally assigned by the FCC in the U.S. at this time.

There was no N11 assignment activity in 2010.

N11 Code	Description
211	Community information and referral services
311	Non-emergency police and other governmental services (U.S.)
411	Local directory assistance
511	Traffic and transportation information (U.S.); Provision of Weather and Traveler Information Services (Canada)
611	Repair service
711	Telecommunications relay service (TRS)
811	Access to One Call Services to Protect Pipeline and Utilities from Excavation Damage (U.S.); Non-Urgent Health Triage Services (Canada)
911	Emergency

## Resource Report – 456-NXX Codes

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

The purpose of NPA 456 and its associated NXXs is to enable the routing of inbound international calls for carrier-specific services, particular to that service provider's network, to and between countries served by the NANP. NANPA assigns 456-NXX codes to carriers under industry-developed guidelines that may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>. The guidelines are entitled International Inbound NPA (INT/NPA/NXX) Assignment Guidelines, ATIS-0300049.

No 456-NXX assignments were requested during 2010. A complete list of 456-NXX assignments may be found on the NANPA website, [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com).

## Resource Report – 800-855 Numbers

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

800-855 numbers are used only for the purpose of

accessing public services on the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) intended for the deaf, hard of hearing or speech impaired. NANPA assigns these numbers in accordance with industry-developed guidelines that may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>.

There were thirty-three (33) 800-855 number assignments made in 2010. A complete list of 800-855 assignments may be found on the NANPA website, [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com).

## Resource Report – Automatic Number Identification “II” Digits

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

Automatic Number Identification (ANI) Information Integers (“II”) digits are digit pairs sent with the originating telephone number. The digit pair identifies the type of originating station; e.g., plain old telephone service (POTS) or hotel/motel. NANPA assigns these numbers in accordance with industry-developed guidelines that may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>. Requests for the assignment of ANI II digits are referred to the INC for consideration. If the INC approves the request, NANPA makes the assignment. A complete list of ANI II assignments may be found on the NANPA website, [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com).

No ANI II digit assignments were made in 2010.

## Resource Report – Vertical Service Codes

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

Vertical Service Codes (VSCs) are customer-dialed codes in the \*XX or \*2XX dialing format for touch-tone and the 11XX or 112XX dialing format for rotary phones. They are used to provide customer access to features and services (e.g., call forwarding, automatic callback, etc.) provided by network service providers such as local exchange carriers, interexchange carriers or commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers. NANPA assigns VSCs in accordance with industry-developed guidelines that may be found on the ATIS website at <http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp>.

NANPA made one VSC assignments in 2010. VSC \*09 was assigned for Selective Call Blocking/Reporting and allows the subscriber, after receiving an unwanted call, to initiate the automatic capture and analysis of network information related to the unwanted call that may be used to determine potential violations of any state and federal regulations. Future calls from this unwanted caller are automatically blocked.

A complete listing of assigned VSCs is available on the NANPA website, [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com).

# NPA RELIEF PLANNING OVERVIEW

---

## **NPA Relief Planning Overview**

**Contacts:** Wayne Milby, 804-795-5919 and Joe Cocks, 805-520-1945

NPA relief planning precedes the introduction of new geographic area codes. The relief planning process is described in detail in the document entitled NPA Code Relief Planning and Notification Guidelines, ATIS-0300061, which can be found on the ATIS website at [www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp](http://www.atis.org/inc/incguides.asp).

NANPA plays a key role in NPA relief planning. At least 36 months before the anticipated exhaust of an NPA in the U.S. or its territories, NANPA's relief planners notify the local industry and state regulatory commission of the impending exhaust and convene a preliminary planning meeting to discuss local dialing arrangements, communities of interest and other pertinent issues to identify viable methods of relief. Using input from this meeting, relief planners prepare and distribute an initial planning document (IPD) for consideration that outlines several alternative relief plans. NANPA then facilitates an industry meeting (more than one if necessary) to consider the options presented in the IPD and any others that may be proposed. NANPA next prepares a petition explaining the options considered and describes the recommended relief option(s) if the industry has reached consensus to do so. The relief planner submits the petition on behalf of the industry to the state regulatory commission for approval.

The respective state commission reviews the proposed plan and often conducts public hearings and invites public comment. When that occurs, the relief planner actively participates and may be called upon to testify relating to various aspects of the proposed relief plan. After the state commission has approved a plan, which may not be one of the options considered by the industry, NANPA requests assignment of the NPA relief code to implement the plan, then convenes and facilitates the first industry implementation meeting. Using decisions made at the initial implementation meeting, the relief planner then prepares and publishes a planning letter on the NANPA website. The planning letter announces the method of relief selected, the identity of the new area code, the schedule for relief, the new dialing plan, the test number for the new area code and, in the case of a split, a list of the prefixes moving to the new area code and those remaining in the area code that is receiving relief.

NANPA's relief planners interface closely with Central Office Code Administrators and Pooling Administrators. Relief planners schedule and facilitate jeopardy conference calls and are closely involved in decisions about the timing of relief activities involving central office codes.

In 2010, NANPA initiated two new NPA relief planning projects (Tennessee and California) and filed two NPA relief petitions with the appropriate state public service commissions (Texas and New Hampshire). NANPA conducted five initial NPA implementation meetings as well as facilitated three pending petition review meetings for a petition not yet filed with the state regulatory commission (Indiana). Two industry implementation schedules were filed by NANPA on behalf of the industry (Arkansas and New York). NANPA also responded to a state request to provide area code maps of identified relief options and participated in ten state-sponsored hearings and technical workshops concerning area code relief (New Hampshire, Pennsylvania and Tennessee).

NANPA relief planners facilitated 17 meetings, conducted entirely by conference calls. They shadowed nearly fifty industry NPA relief implementation meetings. To keep the industry informed, NANPA issued 64 notifications using the NNS. NANPA created and published ten planning letters describing the details of ongoing area code relief projects and other NPA relief-related state regulatory orders.

## **Relief Planning Quality Measurements**

Industry guidelines prescribe time limitations for the completion of many NPA relief planning activities. To quantify the timeliness of its relief planning work, NANPA has established objectives for the completion of many additional activities, as shown in Table 11. In 2010, NANPA completed 100% of the 36 tracked activities on schedule.

# NPA RELIEF PLANNING OVERVIEW

**Table 11: Relief planning timeliness**

Performance Measurement	Events in 2010	Completed on time	% on time completion
Initiated NPA relief planning within 36 months of NPA exhaust.	1	1	100%
Distributed initial industry meeting notice within 8 weeks of relief meeting date.	1	1	100%
Distributed IPD within 4 weeks of relief meeting date.	1	1	100%
Distributed meeting minutes within 2 weeks or date set at the meeting.	12	12	100%
Held minutes review by date set at the meeting.	2	2	100%
Filed relief-related petitions by date set at the meeting.	2	2	100%
Requested relief NPA assignment within 1 week of regulatory approval.	4	4	100%
Issued press release within 2 weeks after relief NPA code assignment.	1	1	100%
Held implementation meeting within 45 days after relief NPA code assignment.	4	4	100%
Held jeopardy meeting within 30 calendar days after jeopardy declaration.	0	0	N/A
Posted planning letter or notice of industry meeting on website within 3 weeks after implementation meeting.	5	5	100%
Posted planning letter on website within 10 business days after regulatory change.	3	3	100%
Distribute IPD 4 weeks after date jeopardy was declared, if relief planning has not been initiated.	0	0	N/A
Hold industry relief planning meeting 8 weeks after date jeopardy was declared, if relief planning has not been initiated.	0	0	N/A
<b>Totals</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100%</b>

Relief planners also measured the promptness of their responses to voicemail and email messages. Results showed that NANPA relief planners responded to 100% of client voicemails and email messages by no later than the end of the next business day.

### Customer Survey Feedback

Participants at the two initial relief planning meetings held in 2010 were asked to evaluate NANPA's performance by completing a survey containing the 11 statements

shown in Table 12. Participants indicated their opinion using a 5-point scale, with 5 indicating "strongly agree" and 1 indicating "strongly disagree." The participants of the relief planning meetings held during the year responded and rated their overall satisfaction with NANPA's conduct of the meetings an average of 4.92 out of a maximum of 5.00. The 2010 ratings for the questions asked in the survey were consistent with previous year ratings, with scores ranging from 4.70 to 5.00.

# NPA RELIEF PLANNING OVERVIEW

**Table 12: Relief planning meeting satisfaction**

Question	2010
Overall satisfied with conduct of meeting?	4.92
Received adequate meeting notice from NANPA?	4.92
NANPA was an effective facilitator?	4.90
Participant had an adequate opportunity to express opinions?	4.92
NANPA conducted the meeting impartially?	4.92
NANPA provided satisfactory response to questions and concerns?	4.90
NANPA provided satisfactory information about code history and NPA status?	5.00
Explained relief alternatives effectively?	4.92
Quality of documents and information provided was satisfactory?	4.83
NANPA presented well-developed and reasonable relief alternatives?	4.90
Participant could easily obtain documents?	4.73

**Table 13: Relief planning conference call satisfaction**

Question	2010
Overall satisfaction with NANPA's conduct of the conference call?	4.88
NANPA conducted the conference call in an impartial manner?	4.88
NANPA provided adequate notice of the conference call?	4.87
Adequate opportunity to express opinions during the call?	4.86
NANPA was well prepared for the meeting?	4.89
NANPA was an effective facilitator on the call?	4.84
Quality of documents and information was satisfactory?	4.86
Information provided prior to the call was sufficient?	4.88
Easily able to obtain documents?	4.79
The conference call facilities (e.g., sound quality) were satisfactory?	4.76

In 2010, NANPA routinely conducted surveys to measure the quality of conference calls (other than initial relief planning meetings), where most of the industry's issues are discussed and resolved.

During a one-month sampling period in each quarter, meeting participants rated NANPA's performance in ten areas (using the same rating scale described previously), such as timely notification, audio quality, facilitation skills and meeting preparation. The survey covered six conference calls, including topics such as area code jeopardy, minutes review, regulatory filing review and implementation meetings. The participants on the sampled conference calls responded to the survey and rated their overall satisfaction with NANPA's conduct of the calls an average of 4.88 out of a maximum of 5.00 (See Table 13). The 2010 ratings for the questions asked in the survey were consistent with previous year ratings, with scores ranging from 4.70 to 5.00.

# NPA RELIEF PLANNING OVERVIEW

---

## Relief planning process

NANPA's relief planners use the following practices to ensure an efficient and effective relief planning process:

- A “pre-planning” conference call precedes preparation of each IPD, allowing those with useful local knowledge to contribute to the development of better relief options. Rate center lists are now distributed much earlier in the relief planning process, allowing the industry and state regulatory commissions more time to study this information prior to relief planning meetings.
- All meetings are conducted by conference call to reduce travel costs and increase participation, except in unusual circumstances and/or at the specific request of the industry.
- At the beginning of each conference call, the NANPA relief planner explains the manner in which the consensus process will be applied in a uniform, impartial manner in the event participants choose to leave the call unannounced.
- To expedite the meeting process, participants are notified in meeting announcements that they are responsible for downloading and reviewing the documents to be discussed prior to the meeting. NANPA does not distribute documents while conference calls are in progress.
- NANPA facilitates industry meetings to review and modify the quantity of codes set aside for number pooling when an NPA is in jeopardy. Per industry guidelines, NANPA re-opens jeopardy procedures in order to permit the industry to determine via consensus if modifications to those procedures are needed.
- NANPA includes historical relief planning information in NPA jeopardy meeting announcements, providing attendees access to important background information that will be of assistance during the meeting.
- NANPA shadows industry NPA relief implementation subcommittee meetings to stay informed on the progress of the implementation as well as to gather and share knowledge gained via these activities with other similar relief efforts.
- NANPA publishes monthly reports on the status of NPA relief projects. In addition, during the NPA relief planning process, a state regulator or the industry may specify further action that NANPA is required to undertake based on a related event or trigger point expected to occur sometime in the future. NANPA provides a report that lists these events and associated activities.

# NUMBERING RESOURCE UTILIZATION/FORECAST

---

**Contact:** Al Cipparone, 571-434-5789

The collection of utilization and forecast data, known as Numbering Resource Utilization/Forecast (NRUF) Reporting, has been in effect since 2000. Per the FCC, NANPA is charged with collecting and reporting this data. Service providers are required to report utilization and forecast data twice a year. Utilization data includes the quantity of assigned, intermediate, aging, administrative and reserved numbers. Forecast data typically is comprised of a five-year forecast of the quantity of thousands-blocks and/or codes by rate center. The FCC also requires access to disaggregated NRUF data by state regulatory commissions for heightened reporting enforcement, including the responsibility to withhold numbering resources from service providers that fail to file utilization and forecast reports.

NANPA collects, sorts and stores NRUF data submitted by service providers. Data may be submitted via NAS, email (i.e. Excel™ workbook), Electronic File Transfer (EFT), compact disk or paper. In 2010, NANPA processed nearly 17,800 NRUF submissions, the highest number of submissions since NRUF was initiated ten years ago. NANPA processed these submissions within a ten-day time frame and provided confirmation of receipt within five days of receiving each submission. In addition to processing submissions, the NRUF administrators also responded to over 1,900 telephone calls and email inquiries.

In November 2010, NANPA enhanced the Utilization Missing Report available in NAS. The Utilization Missing Report identifies the central office codes in which the code assignee failed to submit utilization data. In November, this report was modified to include thousands-blocks (NPA-NXX-X) as well as central office codes. Using data obtained from the Pooling Administrator, the system compares thousands-block assignment data with the utilization data collected from service providers during the semi-annual NRUF reporting process. Through this comparison, NANPA can identify the assigned thousands-blocks for which no utilization data was provided and contact the service provider to which the thousands-block is assigned to request that it submit appropriate utilization information.

The Donation Discrepancy Report was also added to NAS in 2010. This new report identifies a specific thousands-block marked as “donated” on the NRUF submission but is shown as assigned in the Pooling Administrator

assignment data to the same Operating Company Number (OCN) that indicated the thousands-block was donated. Service providers use this report to identify discrepancies between their resource inventory and that of the Pooling Administrator so that appropriate steps can be taken to resolve these differences.

In conjunction with the reports noted above, NANPA conducted NAS-NRUF training sessions for service providers and state regulators. Specific sessions were held with service providers to review the changes to the Utilization Missing Report and the new Donation Discrepancy Report and the steps they could take to address the data contained in the reports. Over 150 individuals representing 140 service providers participated. A separate training session was conducted for state regulators to familiarize them with these two reports. Also covered during this session was an overview of NANPA's process for contacting service providers with missing utilization data in order to obtain the missing information. The training incorporated the use of WebEx™ to allow participants to follow a demonstration of various NAS NRUF capabilities. Applicable training documentation updated in support of the educational efforts included the NRUF On-Line User Guide, Geographic Job Aid and Non-Geographic Job Aid.

# NUMBERING RESOURCE UTILIZATION/FORECAST

**Table 14: Summary of the volume of NRUF submissions and associated items for 2010**

Qualitative Measurements	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Form 502 Email Submissions	2,253	952	343	185	113	99	2,187	619	254	168	77	78
Form 502 FTP Submissions	833	195	44	9	380	33	788	150	27	16	41	45
Form 502 Web Submissions	2,731	554	301	224	261	215	1,659	738	400	293	230	295
Total Submissions	5,817	1,701	688	418	754	347	4,634	1,507	681	477	348	418
Error Notifications Sent	520	236	78	36	50	29	399	209	48	54	44	17
Missing Utilization Notifications Sent	0	161	1	0	0	0	0	144	1	0	0	0
Anomalous Notifications Sent	0	172	176	6	0	0	0	42	366	38	0	0
Confirmation Notifications Sent	2,542	909	309	158	443	103	2,556	562	234	130	74	102
Phone Calls/ Emails Received	247	274	228	144	75	89	208	204	171	150	67	72
State Reports Created	2	0	30	1	1	3	1	0	30	1	0	0
Job Aids Created/Revised	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0

## 2010 NRUF Exhaust Forecasts

One of the primary uses for NRUF data is to support forecasts of the exhaust date for each NPA as well as the exhaust date for the entire NANP. Detailed projections can be found in Attachments 6 and 7 to this annual report. The methodology used to produce the 2010 NPA exhaust projections was similar to the methodology NANPA has used in the past several years to project area code exhaust and had previously been reviewed with the North American Numbering Council and the FCC. In reporting the NPA exhaust projections, NANPA provides the previously-projected NPA exhaust time frames in order to view the changes that have occurred over time.

NANPA projects NPA and NANP exhaust on a semi-annual basis. Exhaust projections are available at the end of April and October. Throughout the year, NANPA monitors central office code assignment rates in all area codes and will adjust the projected NPA exhaust date if necessary. Events that may impact the projected exhaust date include a significant change in CO code demand, the assignment or return of a large quantity of CO codes or the implementation of central office code rationing.

## OTHER NANPA SERVICES

NANPA is required to offer specific services as enterprise services. Enterprise services are additional services that may be provided for a specific fee by NANPA.

### AOCN Enterprise Service

**Contact:** Heidi Wayman, 425-335-1351

Upon request, NANPA will enter data for a service provider's assigned central office codes and thousands-blocks into the database used by the industry to configure the network for the proper routing and rating of calls. This is an enterprise service; i.e., a service for which NANPA is permitted to charge a fee and a contract between the service provider and NANPA is required. NANPA currently provides this service for over 250 service providers.

Although NANPA is required to provide this service, service providers are not required to select NANPA. The service provider may select another company to enter this information or may elect to enter the data themselves.

Providers of this data entry service are identified by numbers, called Administrative Operating Company Numbers (AOCNs). Over time, the company providing the data input service has come to be called the service provider's "AOCN."

### AOCN Quality Measurements

NANPA's AOCN primary service objective is to complete data entry within five business days of receiving a request. NANPA's performance in 2010, shown in Table 15, reflects outstanding service, ensuring that service providers' routing data is input into the appropriate databases to enable the proper routing of calls.

### Entry of Paper Submissions of Resource Applications

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

NANPA will enter paper submissions (faxed, scanned or mailed copies) of resource applications into the NAS

on behalf of the applicant. This includes the application form as well as the in-service confirmation forms (e.g., for central office code administration, the Part 1 and Part 3 forms). In 2010, NANPA processed two paper resource applications.

### Entry of Paper NRUF Submissions

NANPA will enter paper submissions (faxed, scanned, or mailed copies) of the NRUF Form 502 into NAS on behalf of the service provider. Normally, respondents submit data through email, FTP or on-line via NAS. For a fee, NANPA will accept and input data submitted by mail, scan, or by fax. In 2010, no service provider used this service.

### NANPA Testimony in State Regulatory Hearings

NANPA will prepare, file and present oral and written testimony at no charge. Should the state require a NANPA witness(es) to attend the hearing in person, NANPA will require the state to reimburse it for associated expenses (e.g., travel, lodging, meals, local transportation, etc.) for the witness(es) and legal counsel. If the state requires local counsel to represent NANPA at state regulatory hearings, these costs will be passed along to the state. In 2010, no state used this service.

### Customized Reports

NANPA offers customized reports for publicly-available NPA, central office code and other resource assignment data. Specifically, NANPA creates and provides publicly-available data in different formats to accommodate requests to cull data and provide customized reports for a fee that is reasonable and based on its costs. NANPA negotiates a reasonable price with each requestor. Pricing will depend upon report development time and effort, frequency, delivery mechanism and other variables. In 2010, NANPA created no customized reports.

**Table 15: 2010 AOCN Quality Results**

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Percentage of AOCN inputs completed in 5 days	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of AOCN phone calls returned by the end of the next business day	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Total number of AOCN calls	33	30	28	32	33	30	47	50	47	46	45	42

## OTHER NANPA SERVICES

### Financial Results

Ernst & Young audits NANPA's statements of revenues and direct expenditures associated with NANPA's enterprise services. The audit is conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the standards applicable to financial audits in Government Auditing Standards. The statements of revenues and direct expenditures are prepared for the purpose of complying with the July 2009 NANPA Technical Requirements Document.

### INC Participation

**Contact:** Beth Sprague, 571-434-5513

NANPA was an active participant in the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) during 2010, introducing 9 new issues and submitting 8 contributions, as shown in the following tables. In 2010, NANPA provided the INC with written communications concerning the approval for reclamations, updates on NANPA resources and updates on NANPA's interactions with regulatory authorities. In addition, NANPA served as co-chair of the NPA Subcommittee.

**Table 16: NANPA INC Issues Introduced in 2010 and Supporting Contributions**

Issue #	Contribution	Issue Statement
674	CO/NXX 462 and 462rev1	Update Section 6.3.4 of the COCAG to address adequate notification for rate center changes
675	NPA 263 and 263rev1	Edits to NPA Code Relief Planning and Notification Guidelines to include service provider contact information in Planning Letter
679	CO/NXX 465	Update Appendix C, Section 2.1.1 regarding timing to update records for code reallocation
682*		Update Definition of North American Numbering Plan Administration
684	CO/NXX 466	Clarification of Submitted Part 4 for Codes
691	CO/NXX 468 and 468rev1	Requesting NXXs and NXX-Xs after a Merger/Acquisition
692**	RAM 007	Update 5YY Requirements for Resources
700	RAM 004	Clarification to Section 6.3.1 of the NRUF Guidelines
703		Reservation of Future PCS NPA Codes beyond the 5YY Series

\* Issue statement contained suggested text changes to the guidelines.

\*\* Indicates additional INC participants sponsored the issue and/or contribution.

**Table 17: NANPA 2010 Contributions to Other Issues**

Issue #	Contribution Number	Contribution Title
<b>Issue 670 – Remove attaching Part 2 form to CO Code request (Part 1)</b>	CO/NXX 464	Edit Section 1.8 from CO Code Request Part 1 Form

It should be noted that in 2010, the INC re-organized its subtending committees into three (3) standing subcommittees: Resource Assignment and Management Subcommittee (RAM), Number and Addressing Resource Planning Subcommittee (NARP) and the Document Management/Maintenance (DMM) Subcommittee.

## OTHER NANPA SERVICES

---

### **NANPA Website**

**Contact:** John Manning, 571-434-5770

The NANPA website, [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com), is the primary public source of numbering information. It provides a complete description of the different services offered by NANPA. These services include resource administration, area code relief planning, NRUF data collection and analysis and enterprise services. All of the various numbering resources administered by NANPA, including a description of their use and links to their associated administration guidelines, can be easily accessed via the website. Area code maps, planning letters, newsletters and other NANPA publications are readily available. The NANPA website is also the gateway into NAS.

Popular on the website are the numerous downloadable reports on the various resources NANPA administers. Many of the reports are available real-time, providing the most up-to-date source on resource availability. Some of the most frequently accessed reports include the following:

- The Central Office Code Availability and Utilization Reports provide up-to-date lists of all central office codes generally available or unavailable for assignment by geographic area code. The data is also available by NPA in a downloadable format (text and Excel™).
- The Central Office Code Assignment Activity Records provide the quantity of central office codes assigned and returned for each geographic area code on a monthly basis.
- The Part 3 Disconnect report provides a daily listing of central office codes with a pending disconnect date.
- The Central Office Code Activity Status Report provides the total number of new applications processed by NANPA by month for each state, including assignments, denials and return requests.
- Downloadable reports containing assignment information for CICs, 555 line numbers and 5YY and 9YY resources.
- Geographic Area Codes sorted by number and location.
- Planned area codes not yet in service as well as area codes introduced since 1995.
- The NPA Relief Activity Status Report provides information on all active and pending NPA relief projects in the United States.
- The NPA Relief Planning Triggers Report identifies specific actions to be initiated based on a related event or trigger point expected to occur sometime in the future.

Throughout the website, there are various documents available to assist the user. As an example, for NRUF, the following documentation is available: NAS NRUF On-Line User Guide, Geographic and Non-Geographic NRUF Job Aids, Rate Center Abbreviation List and a listing of common NRUF errors and corrections. Similar types of documents are available for Central Office Code Administration and NPA relief planning. Attachment 8 provides a listing of where important numbering documents and other information is available on the internet.

The home page of the website offers links to recent information or activity, under the “What’s New” section. Also included is a section called “NANPA Fast Track,” containing links to the most visited pages on the website. Included under the “NANPA Fast Track” section is a capability that allows the user to search for information about a specific NPA. Information that can be found includes if and/or when the area code was assigned, the location of the NPA, the in-service date where applicable, the NPA that it relieved, the time zone associated with the area code, the NPA dialing plan and other valuable data. The NPA database may also be downloaded from the NANPA website.

The website also provides the ability for interested parties to submit questions related to numbering issues and receive responses. Many such questions are received by NANPA daily. In 2010, NANPA received nearly 500 inquiries via its feedback mechanism. Inquiries range from the general public requesting information on dialing plans and companies seeking the latest information concerning the assignment of area codes and prefixes to how to establish telecommunications businesses and obtain numbering resources. Responding to these questions is a valuable service provided by NANPA to the general public.

### **Numerous enhancements were made to the website in 2010:**

- The color scheme used on the NANPA public and secure website was modified from blue to green and gray in order to bring additional clarity to the website, primarily in the various toolbars that appear throughout the pages on the site. The layout and content of the website did not change.
- The four (4) FCC NRO Orders were added to the website to provide easier access to these important numbering documents.

## OTHER NANPA SERVICES

---

- A new link was added to the FCC's Telecommunications Reporting Worksheet Form 499-A, which provides contact information for service providers and identifies whether the provider offers local, wireless or toll services.
- A link to the document titled "Evidence of State Certification" was added under the CO Code Reports. This document identifies what each state regulatory authority requires for evidence of certification to provide local service.

NANPA also conducted five (5) NANPA public website training sessions in 2010 in an effort to help improve users' understanding of the information available on the site. Over 125 individuals took advantage of this opportunity and indicated a high level of satisfaction with the training.

### **NANPA Newsletters**

NANPA publishes quarterly newsletters and posts them on the NANPA website. These newsletters provide up-to-date information on resource assignments and trends, area code relief planning activities, notifications concerning NRUF submission requirements and other general number administration information. In 2010, articles that appeared addressed the identification of an effective date for inclusion on a central office code application, 800-855 line number assignment information, the status of outstanding NANPA change orders and using the Part 3 approval date of a code or thousands-block transfer to determine under which OCN utilization is to be reported.

### **Support for NANP Countries Other than the U.S.**

The NANP is unique among the world's numbering plans in that it serves 19 independent countries. These countries include the United States and its territories, Canada, Bermuda, Anguilla, Antigua & Barbuda, the Bahamas, Barbados, the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, Dominica, the Dominican Republic, Grenada, Jamaica, Montserrat, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago, and Turks & Caicos.

One of NANPA's most important roles is to coordinate the assignment of numbering resources that must be shared equitably by all of the participating countries. Area codes are, of course, the primary shared resource, but there are others. For example, entities in Canada, Anguilla, Bermuda and the Dominican Republic use CICs. Canadian entities offer 900 services and thus share the supply of 9YY-NXX codes. NANPA may interface with other countries' national numbering administrators during the

resource request and assignment process. Normally, the national administrator receives the requests, ensures that their country's regulatory requirements are met, and forwards the requests to NANPA. NANPA verifies that industry requirements are met and assigns the resources if appropriate to do so.

Sint Maarten was approved to join the NANP and was assigned the 721 area code in 2009. Implementation of the 721 area code was delayed in 2010. However, plans are underway for the 721 NPA to be implemented in 2011.

### **Support to the FCC, State Commissions and the NANC**

In order to ensure the proper and efficient administration of NANP resources, NANPA meets regularly with the FCC, state commissions and the North American Numbering Council (NANC) in support of their needs for numbering information.

Ongoing communications between NANPA and the FCC are necessary to ensure proper administration and management of NANP resources. Under the FCC contract, NANPA provided numerous reports and other documentation required by the contract. These reports consisted of monthly reports on central office code assignments, assignment of other NANP resources such as CICs, area code relief planning projects, NAS performance and NANPA staffing. NANPA provided the FCC with service provider-specific utilization and forecast data submitted by carriers via the NRUF reporting process. NANPA reviews with the FCC issues concerning authorized access to numbering resources. As necessary, NANPA will meet with the FCC to discuss numbering in general and highlight those activities impacting number resource use and optimization.

Over the past 18 months (July 2009 to December 2010), Neustar operated under a six-month contract, with two 6-month options, to perform the NANPA function. In December 2010, the NANPA contract was extended by the FCC for another six months, covering the time period of January through July 2011. During 2010, NANPA worked closely with the FCC to renew appropriate NAS hardware and software maintenance agreements set to expire in the second half of 2010. Further, NANPA secured FCC approval to replace the two existing NAS database servers, which were purchased in 2003. NANPA also submitted to the FCC proposed changes to NAS in response to modifications to industry guidelines and system requirements.

## OTHER NANPA SERVICES

---

NANPA continued to support state regulatory authorities by providing them with the number utilization data collected via semi-annual NRUF reporting and assisted state regulators in following up with the appropriate service providers with regard to this data. This included providing real-time access to NRUF data via NAS, with various reports and queries available to search and analyze the data. NANPA continued to supply state regulators with Part 1 and Part 3 reports, which provided a listing on a daily, weekly or monthly basis of all Part 1s and Part 3s processed by NANPA for their respective area codes. These reports also include the Pooling Administration System tracking number as well as the application type (e.g., LRN request, pool replenishment, dedicated customer).

NANPA worked closely with state regulators to address specific issues or concerns associated with individual service provider requests for resources. Further, as NPA exhaust approached, NANPA ensured the state regulators were kept informed of the latest exhaust projections and provided updated information concerning NPA relief alternatives, to include refreshing the projected lives of proposed relief alternatives. NANPA representatives and state commissions discussed specific activity and issues associated with active, pending or planned NPA relief projects. For instance, in 2010, NANPA participated in public input hearings in Pennsylvania's 570, 717 and 814 area codes, presenting the NPA relief alternatives under consideration and answering questions from the public. NANPA appeared before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority to provide a briefing on area code exhaust within the state and relief efforts to be initiated in the near future. NANPA met with state representatives participating in the NANC to assist in their understanding of NANPA's role in the industry. NANPA provided guidance to numerous state regulators on issues such as the scheduling of public meetings on NPA relief options and providing

notification to the industry, obtaining maps containing county, city and rate center boundaries, participating in state technical meetings and workshops discussing NPA implementation, issuing press releases announcing new area codes and responding to state commission inquiries and data requests. NANPA also participated in meetings with state public service commission staffs to address specific concerns pertaining to current resource administration practices and possible modifications to industry procedures.

NANPA continued to participate in bi-monthly conference calls with the state commission staffs and provide updates on its activities and solicit input on any numbering-related matter. This opportunity was used to review internal processes and to ensure a complete understanding of the responsibilities of NANPA, service providers and the state regulators.

NANPA provided monthly reports to the NANC throughout 2010. These reports highlighted central office code assignment activity, NPA relief planning activity, status reports on other NANP resources administered by NANPA as well as NAS performance. NANPA also provided the results of the semi-annual NPA and NANP exhaust analysis and notified the NANC of the potential exhaust of the specific NPA resources.

NANPA worked closely with the NANC's subtending organizations as well. NANPA participated in monthly meetings with the Numbering Oversight Working Group (NOWG), providing reports on performance measurements, NAS updates, a review of relevant numbering activities and NANPA performance improvement efforts. In 2010, NANPA created a Monthly Operational Report that serves as a repository of various NANPA activities and events occurring throughout the year. NANPA also continued to manage the NANC-Chair web page, used for posting NANC and subtending working group documentation.

# ATTACHMENT 1 – AREA CODE INVENTORY

NPA codes are in NXX format, where N is any digit 2–9 and X is any digit 0–9, yielding  $8 \times 10 \times 10 = 800$  combinations. Of these, 119 are not assignable or have been set aside by the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) for special purposes. These 119 codes are listed below.

N11 (8)	Abbreviated dialing
N9X (80)	Reserved for use during expansion of the NANP
37X and 96X (20)	Reserved by the INC for future use where contiguous blocks of codes are required
555 and 950 (2)	Not used as NPA codes to avoid possible confusion
880–887 and 889 (9)	Set aside for next series of toll-free codes.

Subtracting 119 from 800 leaves 681 assignable NPA codes. Of these, 391 have been assigned. Of these 391, 354 are in service and 37 are awaiting introduction. Of the 354 NPA codes in service, 341 are geographic and 13 are non-geographic.

Of the 681 assignable NPA codes, 290 are currently unassigned. Of these codes, 47 are easily recognizable codes (ERCs) currently allocated for non-geographic use, and 243 are general-purpose codes. Of these 243, 153 are reserved<sup>1</sup> for use as future geographic codes, leaving 90 available, unreserved, general-purpose codes.

Of the 47 unassigned ERCs, 10 are reserved<sup>2</sup>, leaving 37 available.

## Reserved Codes are Listed Below.

NPA	NPA	NPA	NPA
220	389	568	768
221	421	572	782
223	427	576	789
232	428	583	820
235	429	584	821
236	436	624	824
238	437	625	825
247	439	634	826
257	445	639	835
258	448	640	837
259	449	642	838
261	451	645	839
263	453	652	840

271	457	656	841
273	460	658	851
278	461	665	852
279	463	672	854
280	468	676	861
286	471	680	871
287	472	683	875
324	474	685	879
326	476	686	921
328	481	726	923
329	483	728	924
332	485	729	926
341	486	735	927
346	487	739	930
353	489	742	934
354	521	743	942
357	535	745	945
359	536	746	946
362	537	748	948
363	546	749	953
364	548	750	957
367	550	752	981
368	558	753	982
382	560	756	986
384	565	761	987
387	—	—	—

1. These codes have been designated for the relief of NPAs that NRUF predicts will exhaust in the next 10 years. Also included are additional NPA codes reserved for use in Canada at the request of the CRTC.

2. These include the 4 codes reserved for future PCS expansion (522, 566, 577 and 588) and 6 of the codes reserved for Canada (622, 633, 644, 655, 677 and 688). Canada has also reserved 699, which is counted as an expansion code.

## ATTACHMENT 2 – GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED BY LOCATION

Country	Location	NPA
Anguilla	Anguilla	264
Antigua/Barbuda	Antigua/Barbuda	268
Bahamas	Bahamas	242
Barbados	Barbados	246
Bermuda	Bermuda	441
British Virgin Islands	British Virgin Islands	284
Canada	Alberta	403
Canada	Alberta	587
Canada	Alberta	780
Canada	British Columbia	604
Canada	British Columbia	778
Canada	Canada	600
Canada	Manitoba	204
Canada	New Brunswick	506
Canada	Newfoundland	709
Canada	Nova Scotia	902
Canada	Ontario	226
Canada	Ontario	289
Canada	Ontario	343
Canada	Ontario	416
Canada	Ontario	519
Canada	Ontario	613
Canada	Ontario	705
Canada	Ontario	807
Canada	Ontario	905
Canada	Quebec	418
Canada	Quebec	438
Canada	Quebec	450
Canada	Quebec	514
Canada	Quebec	579
Canada	Quebec	581
Canada	Quebec	819
Canada	Yukon, NW Terr., Nunavut	867
Cayman Islands	Cayman Islands	345
Dominica	Dominica	767
Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic	809
Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic	829
Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic	849

Country	Location	NPA
Grenada	Grenada	473
Jamaica	Jamaica	876
Montserrat	Montserrat	664
St. Kitts & Nevis	St. Kitts & Nevis	869
St. Lucia	St. Lucia	758
St. Vincent & Grenadines	St. Vincent & Grenadines	784
Trinidad & Tobago	Trinidad & Tobago	868
Turks & Caicos Islands	Turks & Caicos Islands	649
US	AK	907
US	AL	205
US	AL	251
US	AL	256
US	AL	334
US	AL	938
US	American Samoa	684
US	AR	479
US	AR	501
US	AR	870
US	AZ	480
US	AZ	520
US	AZ	602
US	AZ	623
US	AZ	928
US	CA	209
US	CA	213
US	CA	310
US	CA	323
US	CA	408
US	CA	415
US	CA	424
US	CA	442
US	CA	510
US	CA	530
US	CA	559
US	CA	562
US	CA	619
US	CA	626

## GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED BY LOCATION

Country	Location	NPA	Country	Location	NPA
US	CA	650	US	FL	904
US	CA	657	US	FL	941
US	CA	707	US	FL	954
US	CA	714	US	GA	229
US	CA	747	US	GA	404
US	CA	760	US	GA	470
US	CA	805	US	GA	478
US	CA	818	US	GA	678
US	CA	831	US	GA	706
US	CA	858	US	GA	762
US	CA	909	US	GA	770
US	CA	916	US	GA	912
US	CA	925	US	Guam	671
US	CA	949	US	HI	808
US	CA	951	US	IA	319
US	CNMI	670	US	IA	515
US	CO	303	US	IA	563
US	CO	719	US	IA	641
US	CO	720	US	IA	712
US	CO	970	US	ID	208
US	CT	203	US	IL	217
US	CT	475	US	IL	224
US	CT	860	US	IL	309
US	DC	202	US	IL	312
US	DE	302	US	IL	331
US	FL	239	US	IL	618
US	FL	305	US	IL	630
US	FL	321	US	IL	708
US	FL	352	US	IL	773
US	FL	386	US	IL	779
US	FL	407	US	IL	815
US	FL	561	US	IL	847
US	FL	727	US	IL	872
US	FL	754	US	IN	219
US	FL	772	US	IN	260
US	FL	786	US	IN	317
US	FL	813	US	IN	574
US	FL	850	US	IN	765
US	FL	863	US	IN	812

# GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED BY LOCATION

Country	Location	NPA
US	KS	316
US	KS	620
US	KS	785
US	KS	913
US	KY	270
US	KY	502
US	KY	606
US	KY	859
US	LA	225
US	LA	318
US	LA	337
US	LA	504
US	LA	985
US	MA	339
US	MA	351
US	MA	413
US	MA	508
US	MA	617
US	MA	774
US	MA	781
US	MA	857
US	MA	978
US	MD	240
US	MD	301
US	MD	410
US	MD	443
US	ME	207
US	MI	231
US	MI	248
US	MI	269
US	MI	313
US	MI	517
US	MI	586
US	MI	616
US	MI	734
US	MI	810
US	MI	906
US	MI	947
US	MI	989

Country	Location	NPA
US	MN	218
US	MN	320
US	MN	507
US	MN	612
US	MN	651
US	MN	763
US	MN	952
US	MO	314
US	MO	417
US	MO	573
US	MO	636
US	MO	660
US	MO	816
US	MS	228
US	MS	601
US	MS	662
US	MS	769
US	MT	406
US	NC	252
US	NC	336
US	NC	704
US	NC	828
US	NC	910
US	NC	919
US	NC	980
US	ND	701
US	NE	308
US	NE	402
US	NH	603
US	NJ	201
US	NJ	551
US	NJ	609
US	NJ	732
US	NJ	848
US	NJ	856
US	NJ	862
US	NJ	908
US	NJ	973
US	NM	505

## GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED BY LOCATION

Country	Location	NPA	Country	Location	NPA
US	NM	575	US	PA	610
US	NV	702	US	PA	717
US	NV	775	US	PA	724
US	NY	212	US	PA	814
US	NY	315	US	PA	878
US	NY	347	US	Puerto Rico	787
US	NY	516	US	Puerto Rico	939
US	NY	518	US	RI	401
US	NY	585	US	SC	803
US	NY	607	US	SC	843
US	NY	631	US	SC	864
US	NY	646	US	SD	605
US	NY	716	US	TN	423
US	NY	718	US	TN	615
US	NY	845	US	TN	731
US	NY	914	US	TN	865
US	NY	917	US	TN	901
US	OH	216	US	TN	931
US	OH	234	US	TX	210
US	OH	330	US	TX	214
US	OH	419	US	TX	254
US	OH	440	US	TX	281
US	OH	513	US	TX	325
US	OH	567	US	TX	361
US	OH	614	US	TX	409
US	OH	740	US	TX	430
US	OH	937	US	TX	432
US	OK	405	US	TX	469
US	OK	580	US	TX	512
US	OK	918	US	TX	682
US	OR	458	US	TX	713
US	OR	503	US	TX	806
US	OR	541	US	TX	817
US	OR	971	US	TX	830
US	PA	215	US	TX	832
US	PA	267	US	TX	903
US	PA	412	US	TX	915
US	PA	484	US	TX	936
US	PA	570	US	TX	940

## GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED BY LOCATION

---

Country	Location	NPA
US	TX	956
US	TX	972
US	TX	979
US	US	710
US	US Virgin Islands	340
US	UT	385
US	UT	435
US	UT	801
US	VA	276
US	VA	434
US	VA	540
US	VA	571
US	VA	703
US	VA	757
US	VA	804
US	VT	802
US	WA	206
US	WA	253
US	WA	360
US	WA	425
US	WA	509
US	WI	262
US	WI	414
US	WI	534
US	WI	608
US	WI	715
US	WI	920
US	WV	304
US	WV	681
US	WY	307

**Note: All geographic NPAs were in service as of December 31, 2010.**

## ATTACHMENT 3 – GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED NUMERICALLY

NPA	Country	Location
201	US	NJ
202	US	DC
203	US	CT
204	Canada	Manitoba
205	US	AL
206	US	WA
207	US	ME
208	US	ID
209	US	CA
210	US	TX
212	US	NY
213	US	CA
214	US	TX
215	US	PA
216	US	OH
217	US	IL
218	US	MN
219	US	IN
224	US	IL
225	US	LA
226	Canada	Ontario
228	US	MS
229	US	GA
231	US	MI
234	US	OH
239	US	FL
240	US	MD
242	Bahamas	Bahamas
246	Barbados	Barbados
248	US	MI
250	Canada	British Columbia
251	US	AL
252	US	NC
253	US	WA
254	US	TX
256	US	AL
260	US	IN
262	US	WI
264	Anguilla	Anguilla

NPA	Country	Location
267	US	PA
268	Antigua/Barbuda	Antigua/Barbuda
269	US	MI
270	US	KY
276	US	VA
281	US	TX
284	British Virgin Islands	British Virgin Islands
289	Canada	Ontario
301	US	MD
302	US	DE
303	US	CO
304	US	WV
305	US	FL
306	Canada	Saskatchewan
307	US	WY
308	US	NE
309	US	IL
310	US	CA
312	US	IL
313	US	MI
314	US	MO
315	US	NY
316	US	KS
317	US	IN
318	US	LA
319	US	IA
320	US	MN
321	US	FL
323	US	CA
325	US	TX
330	US	OH
331	US	IL
334	US	AL
336	US	NC
337	US	LA
339	US	MA
340	US	US Virgin Islands
343	Canada	Ontario
345	Cayman Islands	Cayman Islands

## GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED NUMERICALLY

NPA	Country	Location
347	US	NY
351	US	MA
352	US	FL
360	US	WA
361	US	TX
385	US	UT
386	US	FL
401	US	RI
402	US	NE
403	Canada	Alberta
404	US	GA
405	US	OK
406	US	MT
407	US	FL
408	US	CA
409	US	TX
410	US	MD
412	US	PA
413	US	MA
414	US	WI
415	US	CA
416	Canada	Ontario
417	US	MO
418	Canada	Quebec
419	US	OH
423	US	TN
424	US	CA
425	US	WA
430	US	TX
432	US	TX
434	US	VA
435	US	UT
438	Canada	Quebec
440	US	OH
441	Bermuda	Bermuda
442	US	CA
443	US	MD
450	Canada	Quebec
458	US	OR

NPA	Country	Location
469	US	TX
470	US	GA
473	Grenada	Grenada
475	US	CT
478	US	GA
479	US	AR
480	US	AZ
484	US	PA
501	US	AR
502	US	KY
503	US	OR
504	US	LA
505	US	NM
506	Canada	New Brunswick
507	US	MN
508	US	MA
509	US	WA
510	US	CA
512	US	TX
513	US	OH
514	Canada	Quebec
515	US	IA
516	US	NY
517	US	MI
518	US	NY
519	Canada	Ontario
520	US	AZ
530	US	CA
534	US	WI
540	US	VA
541	US	OR
551	US	NJ
559	US	CA
561	US	FL
562	US	CA
563	US	IA
567	US	OH
570	US	PA
571	US	VA

## GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED NUMERICALLY

NPA	Country	Location
573	US	MO
574	US	IN
575	US	NM
579	Canada	Quebec
580	US	OK
581	Canada	Quebec
586	US	MI
587	Canada	Alberta
601	US	MS
602	US	AZ
603	US	NH
604	Canada	British Columbia
605	US	SD
606	US	KY
607	US	NY
608	US	WI
609	US	NJ
610	US	PA
612	US	MN
613	Canada	Ontario
614	US	OH
615	US	TN
616	US	MI
617	US	MA
618	US	IL
619	US	CA
620	US	KS
623	US	AZ
626	US	CA
630	US	IL
631	US	NY
636	US	MO
641	US	IA
646	US	NY
647	Canada	Ontario
649	Turks & Caicos Islands	Turks & Caicos Islands
650	US	CA
651	US	MN

NPA	Country	Location
657	US	CA
661	US	CA
662	US	MS
664	Montserrat	Montserrat
670	US	CNMI
671	US	Guam
678	US	GA
681	US	WV
682	US	TX
684	US	American Samoa
701	US	ND
702	US	NV
703	US	VA
704	US	NC
705	Canada	Ontario
706	US	GA
707	US	CA
708	US	IL
709	Canada	Newfoundland
710	US	US
712	US	IA
713	US	TX
714	US	CA
715	US	WI
716	US	NY
717	US	PA
718	US	NY
719	US	CO
720	US	CO
724	US	PA
727	US	FL
731	US	TN
732	US	NJ
734	US	MI
740	US	OH
747	US	CA
754	US	FL
757	US	VA
758	St. Lucia	St. Lucia

# GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED NUMERICALLY

NPA	Country	Location
760	US	CA
762	US	GA
763	US	MN
765	US	IN
767	Dominica	Dominica
769	US	MS
770	US	GA
772	US	FL
773	US	IL
774	US	MA
775	US	NV
778	Canada	British Columbia
779	US	IL
780	Canada	Alberta
781	US	MA
784	St. Vincent & Grenadines	St. Vincent & Grenadines
785	US	KS
786	US	FL
787	US	Puerto Rico
801	US	UT
802	US	VT
803	US	SC
804	US	VA
805	US	CA
806	US	TX
807	Canada	Ontario
808	US	HI
809	Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic
810	US	MI
812	US	IN
813	US	FL
814	US	PA
815	US	IL
816	US	MO
817	US	TX
818	US	CA
819	Canada	Quebec
828	US	NC

NPA	Country	Location
829	Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic
830	US	TX
831	US	CA
832	US	TX
843	US	SC
845	US	NY
847	US	IL
848	US	NJ
849	Dominican Republic	Dominican Republic
850	US	FL
856	US	NJ
857	US	MA
858	US	CA
859	US	KY
860	US	CT
862	US	NJ
863	US	FL
864	US	SC
865	US	TN
867	Canada	Yukon, NW Terr., Nunavut
868	Trinidad & Tobago	Trinidad & Tobago
869	St. Kitts & Nevis	St. Kitts & Nevis
870	US	AR
872	US	IL
876	Jamaica	Jamaica
878	US	PA
901	US	TN
902	Canada	Nova Scotia
903	US	TX
904	US	FL
905	Canada	Ontario
906	US	MI
907	US	AK
908	US	NJ
909	US	CA
910	US	NC
912	US	GA
913	US	KS

## GEOGRAPHIC NPAS SORTED NUMERICALLY

---

NPA	Country	Location
914	US	NY
915	US	TX
916	US	CA
917	US	NY
918	US	OK
919	US	NC
920	US	WI
925	US	CA
928	US	AZ
931	US	TN
936	US	TX
937	US	OH
938	US	AL
939	US	Puerto Rico
940	US	TX
941	US	FL
947	US	MI
949	US	CA
951	US	CA
952	US	MN
954	US	FL
956	US	TX
970	US	CO
971	US	OR
972	US	TX
973	US	NJ
978	US	MA
979	US	TX
980	US	NC
985	US	LA
989	US	MI

**Note: All geographic NPAs were in service as of December 31, 2010.**

## ATTACHMENT 4 – NON-GEOGRAPHIC NPAS IN SERVICE

The table below lists the non-geographic NPAs in service as of December 31, 2010, along with the service for which each is used.

NPA	Service
456	Inbound International
500	Personal Communications Service
533	Personal Communications Service
544	Personal Communications Service
600	Canadian Services
700	Interexchange Carrier Services
710	US Government
800	Toll-Free
855	Toll-Free
866	Toll-Free
877	Toll-Free
888	Toll-Free
900	Premium Services

NPA code 456 allows callers to select a carrier for international calls terminating in a NANP country. Carriers implement this service by activating 456 numbers in each country of origin.

500, 533 and 544 numbers are intended to be used for personal communications services. Personal communications service is defined as a set of capabilities that allows some combination of personal mobility, terminal mobility and service profile management. The 544 NPA was introduced in December 2010. NPA codes 522, 566, 577 and 588 have been reserved as Personal Communications Services codes and will be assigned as needed.

NPA code 600 is used within Canada and assigned to Canadian telecommunications service providers for the provision of non-geographic services.

NPA code 700 was assigned in 1983 for use by all interexchange carriers. Each carrier has the use of all 7.92 million numbers in the 700 NPA. When a call is made to a 700 number, the local exchange carrier passes the call to the caller's interexchange carrier, selected either through presubscription or override. Note that 700 numbers, unlike other NANP numbers, may terminate in different ways, depending on how the interexchange carrier has allocated the numbers.

NPA code 710 was assigned in 1983 to the U.S. Government for emergency services. The 710 NPA is treated as non-geographic with per-call compensation provided by the National Communications System (NCS).

NPA codes 800, 888, 877, 866 and 855 are used as toll free codes. The 855 NPA was introduced in October 2010. NPA codes 844, 833 and 822 have been assigned for use as toll free codes and will be introduced as needed.

900 numbers are used for premium services, with the cost of each 900 call billed to the calling party.

## ATTACHMENT 5 – DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
AK	907	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
AL	205	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AL	251	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	1
AL	256	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AL	334	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AL	938	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AR	479	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AR	501	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AR	870	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AS	684	7D	NA	NA	1+10D	
AZ	480	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AZ	520	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AZ	602	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AZ	623	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
AZ	928	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CA	209	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	213	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	310	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	323	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	408	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	415	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	424	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	442	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	510	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	530	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	559	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	562	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	619	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	626	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	650	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	657	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	707	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	714	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	747	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	760	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	805	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	818	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	831	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	

# DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
CA	858	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	909	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	916	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	925	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	949	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CA	951	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
CNMI	670	7D	1+10D	NA	1+10D	
CO	303	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CO	719	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CO	720	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CO	970	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CT	203	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CT	475	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
CT	860	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
DC	202	7D	NA	10D	1+10D	
DE	302	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	239	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	305	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	2
FL	321	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	3
FL	352	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	386	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	407	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	561	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	4
FL	727	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	754	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	772	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	5
FL	786	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	813	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	850	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	863	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	904	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	941	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
FL	954	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	229	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	404	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	470	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	478	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	678	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	

## DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
GA	706	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	762	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	770	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GA	912	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
GU	671	7D	1+10D	NA	1+10D	
HI	808	7D	1+10D	NA	1+10D	
IA	319	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IA	515	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IA	563	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IA	641	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IA	712	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
ID	208	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
IL	217	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	224	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	309	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	312	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	331	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	618	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	630	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	708	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	773	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	779	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	815	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	847	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IL	872	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
IN	219	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IN	260	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IN	317	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IN	574	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IN	765	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
IN	812	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
KS	316	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
KS	620	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
KS	785	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
KS	913	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
KY	270	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
KY	502	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
KY	606	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	6

# DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
KY	859	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	6
LA	225	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
LA	318	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
LA	337	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
LA	504	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
LA	985	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	339	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	351	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	413	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	508	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	617	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	774	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	781	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	857	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MA	978	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MD	240	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MD	301	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MD	410	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MD	443	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
ME	207	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
MI	231	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	248	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	269	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	313	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	517	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	586	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	616	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	734	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	810	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	906	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	947	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MI	989	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MN	218	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
MN	320	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
MN	507	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
MN	612	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MN	651	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MN	763	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	

## DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
MN	952	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MO	314	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MO	417	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MO	573	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MO	636	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MO	660	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MO	816	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MS	228	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MS	601	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MS	662	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MS	769	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
MT	406	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
NC	252	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NC	336	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NC	704	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NC	828	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NC	910	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NC	919	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NC	980	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
ND	701	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
NE	308	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
NE	402	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
NH	603	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NJ	201	10D	10D	1+10D	1+10D	7
NJ	551	10D	10D	1+10D	1+10D	7
NJ	609	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NJ	732	10D	10D	1+10D	1+10D	8
NJ	848	10D	10D	1+10D	1+10D	8
NJ	856	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NJ	862	10D	10D	1+10D	1+10D	9
NJ	908	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NJ	973	10D	10D	1+10D	1+10D	9
NM	505	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NM	575	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NV	702	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NV	775	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
NY	212	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	315	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	

# DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
NY	347	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	516	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	518	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	585	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	607	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	631	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	646	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	716	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	718	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	845	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	914	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
NY	917	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
OH	216	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	234	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	330	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	419	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	440	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	513	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	567	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	614	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	740	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OH	937	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	10
OK	405	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
OK	580	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
OK	918	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
OR	458	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
OR	503	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
OR	541	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
OR	971	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
PA	215	10D	10D	(see note)	1+10D	11
PA	267	10D	10D	(see note)	1+10D	11
PA	412	10D	10D	(see note)	(see note)	12
PA	484	10D	10D	(see note)	1+10D	11
PA	570	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
PA	610	10D	10D	(see note)	1+10D	11
PA	717	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
PA	724	10D	10D	(see note)	(see note)	12
PA	814	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	

## DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
PA	878	10D	10D	(see note)	(see note)	12
Puerto Rico	787	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
Puerto Rico	939	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
RI	401	7D	7D	1+10D	1+10D	
SC	803	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
SC	843	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
SC	864	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
SD	605	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
TN	423	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TN	615	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
TN	731	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	13
TN	865	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TN	901	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TN	931	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
TX	210	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	214	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	254	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	281	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	325	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	361	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	409	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	430	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	432	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	469	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	512	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	682	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	713	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	806	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	817	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	830	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	832	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	903	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	915	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	936	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	940	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	956	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
TX	972	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	

# DIALING PLANS

Location	NPA	Home NPA Local Calls	Home NPA Toll Calls	Foreign NPA Local Calls	Foreign NPA Toll Calls	Notes
TX	979	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
USVI	340	7D	1+10D	NA	1+10D	
UT	385	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
UT	435	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	
UT	801	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	276	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	434	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	540	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	571	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	703	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	757	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VA	804	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
VT	802	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WA	206	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WA	253	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WA	360	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WA	425	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WA	509	7D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WI	262	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WI	414	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WI	534	10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WI	608	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WI	715	10D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WI	920	7D	1+10D	1+10D	1+10D	
WV	304	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WV	681	10D	1+10D	10D	1+10D	
WY	307	7D	1+10D	7D	1+10D	

## Notes:

1. Other dialing plans may apply at the discretion of the local service provider.
2. The Florida Keys retained 7D local dialing.
3. Home NPA local calls are 7D in Brevard County.
4. See Planning Letter 291 for local dialing into the 954-754 NPAs.
5. All ECS calls directed to a presubscribed carrier will be dialed as 1+10D (PL 311).
6. Some cross-boundary 7D local dialing exists.
7. Calls between the 551 and 201 NPAs may be dialed as 10D.
8. Calls between the 732 and 848 NPAs may be dialed as 10D.
9. Calls between the 973 and 862 NPAs can be dialed as 10D.
10. Carriers must provide permissive 1+10D dialing for Foreign NPA Local Calls in areas where they provide optional EAS.
11. All calls within and between the 215, 267, 484, and 610 NPAs can be dialed as 10D or 1+10D. Calls to other NPAs must be dialed as 1+10D.
12. All calls within and between NPAs 412, 724, and 878 can be dialed as 10D or 1+10D. Calls to other NPAs must be dialed as 1+10D.
13. Note that some local calls may require dialing 10D or 1+10D depending on area and service provider.

# ATTACHMENT 6 – 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

NANPA projects NPA exhaust on a semi-annual basis. These projections were produced in April and October 2010. The tables below show the current quarter/year in which each NPA is projected to exhaust, based on analysis performed in October 2010. The table also provides forecasted NPA exhaust information from previous exhaust projections developed by NANPA. The current forecast is based on NRUF data as it existed on October 1, 2010 for the US and January 1, 2010 for Canada, except where noted. Forecasts marked “R” are based on rationed assignment limits. The change between the current and previous forecasts is given in quarters. A positive number indicates that the exhaust date has moved out to a later date. A negative number indicates that the exhaust is now projected to occur sooner than previously expected.

## NPA Exhaust Forecasts Sorted by Area Code:

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr																	
New Jersey	201/551	2049		2Q	2049		1Q	2043		1Q	2042		1Q	2042		1Q	2037		2Q	+Q1	
District of Columbia	202	2018		4Q	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2020		1Q	2021		1Q	2022		4Q	-4Q	b
Connecticut	203/475										2010		2Q	2010		2Q	2010		2Q	N/A	o
Canada	204				2013		2Q	2016		4Q	2011		1Q	2021		4Q	2021		4Q		c
Alabama	205	2014		4Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		4Q	2013		3Q	+3Q	a
Washington	206	2025		1Q	2023		3Q	2021		2Q	2020		4Q	2020		3Q	2023		2Q	+6Q	a
Maine	207	2016		3Q	2016		1Q	2015		2Q	2014		4Q	2014		4Q	2014		4Q	+2Q	
Idaho	208	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2014		1Q	2013		2Q	2012		2Q	2012		1Q	+2Q	
California	209	2023		2Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	2022		3Q	2021		3Q	2021		3Q	+1Q	
Texas	210	2018		2Q	2018		2Q	2017		2Q	2016		3Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	N/C	
New York	212/646	2016		2Q	2016		2Q	2015		2Q	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	2014		2Q	N/C	
California	213	2047		1Q	2046		4Q	2038		3Q	2038		1Q	2037		3Q	2036		4Q	+1Q	
Texas	214/972/469	2021		3Q	2021		2Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		1Q	2017		3Q	+1Q	
Pennsylvania	215/267	2015		4Q	2015		2Q	2014		3Q	2014		3Q	2014		3Q	2014		2Q	+2Q	
Ohio	216	2039		2Q	2039		1Q	2032		4Q	2032		3Q	2027		4Q	2027		1Q	+1Q	
Illinois	217	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		2Q	+1Q	
Minnesota	218	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2017		1Q	2017		1Q	2017		1Q	+2Q	
Indiana	219	2032		2Q	2031		3Q	2031		2Q	2030		3Q	2030		3Q	2029		4Q	+3Q	a
Louisiana	225	2031		3Q	2031		2Q	2029		4Q	2029		3Q	2029		1Q	2028		3Q	+1Q	
Mississippi	228	2046		1Q	2045		3Q	2042		1Q	2039		3Q	2039		1Q	2038		3Q	+2Q	
Georgia	229	2018		4Q	2018		4Q	2015		2Q	2014		2Q	2013		1Q	2015		3Q	N/C	
Michigan	231	2032		1Q	2031		4Q	2031		4Q	2030		3Q	2026		2Q	2026		2Q	+1Q	
Florida	239	2031		2Q	2030		3Q	2030		4Q	2029		3Q	2029		2Q	2027		4Q	+3Q	a
Michigan	248/947	2042		2Q	2040		2Q	2037		3Q	2036		1Q	2035		3Q	2032		1Q	+8Q	a
Canada	250/778/604				2016		3Q	2019		4Q	2019		4Q	2018		4Q	2018		4Q		c
Alabama	251	2033		4Q	2033		4Q	2029		2Q	2029		2Q	2028		3Q	2028		1Q	N/C	

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
		2010.1 to 2010.2																			
Washington	253	2031	4Q	2030	4Q	2029	4Q	2028	3Q	2028	2Q	2026	3Q	+4Q	a						
Texas	254	2024	1Q	2023	4Q	2022	4Q	2022	2Q	2021	1Q	2020	3Q	+1Q							
Alabama	256/938	2036	1Q	2035	2Q	2012	R	1Q	2011	R	3Q	2011	R	2Q	2010	R	4Q	+3Q	a		
Indiana	260	2035	2Q	2034	4Q	2034	4Q	2032	3Q	2030	3Q	2030	2Q	+2Q							
Wisconsin	262	2026	2Q	2026	1Q	2026	1Q	2024	4Q	2023	1Q	2022	4Q	+1Q							
Michigan	269	2028	3Q	2028	2Q	2028	2Q	2028	1Q	2025	4Q	2025	3Q	+1Q							
Kentucky	270	2014	3Q	2014	1Q	2012	4Q	2012	R	2Q	2011	R	2Q	2010	R	3Q	+2Q				
Virginia	276					2050	3Q	2050	2Q	2050	1Q	2049	4Q		o						
Canada	289/905			2014	1Q	2016	4Q	2028	3Q	2024	3Q	2014	3Q		c						
Maryland	301/240	2022	1Q	2021	4Q	2021	2Q	2022	3Q	2022	2Q	2021	3Q	+1Q							
Delaware	302	2026	2Q	2026	2Q	2025	3Q	2025	2Q	2025	1Q	2024	4Q	N/C							
Colorado	303/720	2021	2Q	2025	3Q	2025	4Q	2025	2Q	2025	2Q	2025	2Q	-17Q	a						
West Virginia	304/681	2036	1Q	2035	4Q	2035	2Q	2035	1Q	2035	1Q	2009	1Q	+1Q							
Florida	305/786	2024	2Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q	2022	4Q	2021	3Q	2020	4Q	+2Q	h						
Florida	305A	2017	2Q	2016	3Q	2015	3Q	2012	4Q	2012	2Q	2011	2Q	+3Q	a, h						
Canada	306			2018	2Q	2022	4Q	2022	4Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q		c						
Wyoming	307	2029	3Q	2028	4Q	2026	3Q	2026	1Q	2026	1Q	2025	1Q	+3Q	a						
Nebraska	308	2036	3Q	2035	2Q	2033	2Q	2033	2Q	2031	2Q	2030	4Q	+5Q	a						
Illinois	309	2017	4Q	2017	1Q	2016	1Q	2015	2Q	2014	3Q	2013	4Q	+3Q	a						
California	310/424	2041	3Q	2029	1Q	2026	3Q	2025	3Q	2023	2Q	2022	4Q	+50Q	b						
Illinois	312/773/872	2030	1Q	2029	3Q	2029	2Q							+2Q	m						
Michigan	313	2020	1Q	2019	3Q	2019	3Q	2018	4Q	2018	2Q	2017	2Q	+2Q							
Missouri	314	2021	1Q	2020	3Q	2019	2Q	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	4Q	+2Q							
New York	315	2013	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	1Q	2012	1Q	2011	1Q	N/C							
Kansas	316	2046	3Q	2040	4Q	2040	2Q	2037	4Q	2037	3Q	2037	1Q	+23Q	a						
Indiana	317	2017	1Q	2017	1Q	2015	4Q	2015	1Q	2014	1Q	2013	4Q	N/C							
Louisiana	318	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	2Q	2016	4Q	2016	1Q	2015	4Q	+2Q							
Iowa	319	2023	1Q	2022	3Q	2021	2Q	2020	2Q	2018	4Q	2017	3Q	+2Q							
Minnesota	320	2027	1Q	2026	2Q	2025	1Q	2024	3Q	2024	3Q	2024	3Q	+3Q	a						
Florida	321A	2033	2Q	2032	3Q	2032	1Q	2031	3Q	2031	2Q	2029	2Q	+3Q	a, g						
California	323	2015	1Q	2014	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	1Q	2012	2Q	2012	1Q	+1Q							
Texas	325	2035	3Q	2035	2Q	2033	3Q	2031	4Q	2029	2Q	2028	4Q	+1Q							
Ohio	330/234	2032	2Q	2032	1Q	2031	4Q	2031	2Q	2031	1Q	2030	3Q	+1Q							
Alabama	334	2016	2Q	2015	4Q	2015	1Q	2014	2Q	2013	4Q	2013	4Q	+2Q							
North Carolina	336	2014	4Q	2014	3Q	2014	2Q	2014	2Q	2013	3Q	2013	1Q	+1Q							

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2			2010.1			2009.2			2009.1			2008.2			2008.1			Change	Notes
		FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST				
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
Virgin Islands	340										2131	4Q		2131	2Q		2131	1Q		o	
Florida	352	2021	4Q	2021	2Q	2021	2Q	2020	4Q	2020	1Q	2019	3Q	+2Q							
Washington	360	2014	1Q	2013	3Q	2012	4Q	2012	2Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
Texas	361	2022	2Q	2021	1Q	2018	4Q	2017	4Q	2016	3Q	2016	1Q	+5Q					a		
Florida	386	2033	1Q	2032	3Q	2029	4Q	2029	3Q	2029	1Q	2028	3Q	+2Q							
Rhode Island	401	2023	3Q	2022	3Q	2021	3Q	2021	1Q	2019	4Q	2019	4Q	+4Q							
Nebraska	402/531				2011	4Q	2011	3Q	2011	2Q	2010	3Q	2010	2Q					f, o, q		
Canada	403/587/780				2020	1Q	2022	3Q	2022	3Q	2024	4Q	2024	4Q					c		
Georgia	404	2015	1Q	2015	1Q	2015	2Q	2014	3Q	2014	2Q	2014	1Q	N/C							
Oklahoma	405	2017	4Q	2017	2Q	2017	2Q	2016	2Q	2015	4Q	2016	2Q	+2Q							
Montana	406	2016	2Q	2015	4Q	2015	1Q	2013	4Q	2012	4Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
Florida	407/321	2013	4Q	2013	2Q	2013	1Q	2012	2Q	2011	3Q	2011	1Q	+2Q					g		
California	408	2012	4Q	2012	4Q	2012	4Q	2012	2Q	2012	2Q	2012	1Q	N/C							
Texas	409	2033	4Q	2034	1Q	2031	3Q	2029	4Q	2028	2Q	2028	3Q	-1Q							
Maryland	410/443	2012	2Q	2012	1Q	2011	4Q	2011	3Q	2011	2Q	2011	2Q	+1Q							
Pennsylvania	412/878/724	2028	2Q	2027	3Q	2026	3Q	2026	2Q	2026	1Q	2025	4Q	+3Q					a		
Massachusetts	413	2025	3Q	2024	4Q	2024	3Q	2024	1Q	2023	3Q	2021	3Q	+3Q					a		
Wisconsin	414	2034	1Q	2033	1Q	2033	1Q	2032	3Q	2032	2Q	2032	1Q	+4Q					a		
California	415	2017	2Q	2016	4Q	2016	3Q	2016	1Q	2015	2Q	2014	2Q	+2Q							
Canada	416/647				2015	3Q	2021	4Q	2021	4Q	2017	1Q	2017	1Q					c		
Missouri	417	2017	4Q	2017	2Q	2015	3Q	2013	2Q	2012	3Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
Canada	418/581													2008	4Q				c		
Ohio	419/567	2026	3Q	2025	4Q	2025	3Q	2024	3Q	2023	1Q	2022	3Q	+3Q					a		
Tennessee	423	2018	4Q	2018	1Q	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	1Q	2016	4Q	+3Q					a		
Washington	425	2032	3Q	2031	4Q	2031	3Q	2030	4Q	2030	3Q	2031	1Q	+3Q					a		
Texas	432	2038	4Q	2037	4Q	2037	1Q	2036	3Q	2033	1Q	2032	4Q	+4Q					a		
Virginia	434	2037	1Q	2036	2Q	2035	4Q	2036	3Q	2036	3Q	2033	3Q	+3Q					a		
Utah	435	2033	3Q	2033	2Q	2031	2Q	2030	3Q	2030	2Q	2026	2Q	+1Q							
Ohio	440	2021	3Q	2020	4Q	2020	2Q	2019	3Q	2018	1Q	2017	4Q	+3Q					a		
Canada	450				2010	4Q	2010	4Q	2010	4Q	2012	3Q	2014	4Q					c		
Georgia	478	2031	3Q	2031	1Q	2030	4Q	2030	2Q	2028	3Q	2029	2Q	+2Q							
Arkansas	479	2032	4Q	2032	2Q	2030	4Q	2029	1Q	2028	3Q	2028	2Q	+2Q							
Arizona	480	2022	2Q	2023	4Q	2023	1Q	2022	1Q	2021	3Q	2021	3Q	-6Q					b		
Arkansas	501	2027	1Q	2026	3Q	2026	1Q	2025	3Q	2023	1Q	2022	1Q	+2Q							
Kentucky	502	2023	4Q	2023	2Q	2022	2Q	2021	1Q	2019	3Q	2018	3Q	+2Q							

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2			2010.1			2009.2			2009.1			2008.2			2008.1			Change	Notes
		FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST				
		Year	R	Qtr																	
Louisiana	504	2030		2Q	2029		4Q	2028		1Q	2027		4Q	2026		1Q	2024		3Q	+2Q	
New Mexico	505	2023		4Q	2023		3Q	2023		2Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	2009		1Q	+1Q	
Canada	506													2027		1Q	2027		1Q		c
Minnesota	507	2015		3Q	2016		1Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	-2Q	
Massachusetts	508/774	2021		1Q	2020		3Q	2020		3Q	2020		1Q	2019		1Q	2018		1Q	+2Q	
Washington	509	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		1Q	2015		1Q	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	+2Q	
California	510	2016		4Q	2015	R	1Q	2014	R	4Q	2014	R	2Q	2013	R	4Q	2013	R	3Q	+7Q	a
Texas	512	2014		2Q	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	2012		3Q	2012		1Q	2012		1Q	N/C	
Ohio	513	2020		2Q	2020		1Q	2020		1Q	2018		3Q	2017		3Q	2016		3Q	+1Q	
Canada	514/438				2029		3Q														c
Iowa	515	2025		4Q	2025		4Q	2024		3Q	2024		1Q	2021		2Q	2019		4Q	N/C	
New York	516	2018		4Q	2018		3Q	2018		2Q	2017		3Q	2016		3Q	2015		4Q	+1Q	
Michigan	517	2021		1Q	2020		3Q	2020		2Q	2019		4Q	2018		4Q	2017		4Q	+2Q	
New York	518	2016		4Q	2016		1Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2014		3Q	2013		3Q	+3Q	
Canada	519/226				2021		2Q	2019		2Q		c									
Arizona	520	2029		3Q	2029		1Q	2027		3Q	2027		2Q	2025		4Q	2025		3Q	+2Q	
California	530	2018		1Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	+1Q	
Virginia	540	2017		3Q	2017		1Q	2016		4Q	2017		3Q	2017		3Q	2017		3Q	+2Q	
Oregon	541/458	2033		3Q	2032		4Q	2031		1Q	2010		2Q	2010		4Q	2011		1Q	+3Q	
California	559	2019		2Q	2019	R	1Q	2018	R	4Q	2018	R	2Q	2017	R	3Q	2017	R	1Q	+1Q	
Florida	561	2022		4Q	2022		2Q	2022		1Q	2021		3Q	2021		2Q	2019		3Q	+2Q	
California	562	2027		2Q	2026		3Q	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2022		1Q	2021		3Q	+3Q	a
Iowa	563	2036		1Q	2035		4Q	2034		1Q	2031		1Q	2028		3Q	2027		4Q	+1Q	
Pennsylvania	570	2012		3Q	2011		3Q	2011		3Q	2011		3Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	+4Q	a
Missouri	573	2018		1Q	2017		2Q	2016		3Q	2015		2Q	2013		4Q	2012		3Q	+3Q	a
Indiana	574	2036		4Q	2036		1Q	2035		4Q	2035		2Q	2034		4Q	2034		2Q	+3Q	a
New Mexico	575	2028		2Q	2027		4Q	2027		3Q	2027		3Q	2027		2Q				+2Q	
Oklahoma	580	2017		2Q	2016		3Q	2015		2Q	2014		4Q	2013		4Q	2012		3Q	+3Q	a
New York	585	2024		2Q	2024		1Q	2023		2Q	2022		4Q	2020		1Q	2019		1Q	+1Q	
Michigan	586	2032		4Q	2031		3Q	2031		3Q	2031		3Q	2027		4Q	2026		4Q	+5Q	a
Mississippi	601/769	2034		4Q	2034		3Q	2034		2Q	2033		4Q	2033		2Q	2032		4Q	+1Q	
Arizona	602	2025		3Q	2024		4Q	2023		2Q	2021		4Q	2019		4Q	2019		4Q	+3Q	a
New Hampshire	603	2013		2Q	2012		3Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		2Q	2011		1Q	+3Q	a
South Dakota	605	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		4Q	2018		1Q	2016		3Q	2015		4Q	+1Q	
Kentucky	606	2023		4Q	2023		1Q	2021		2Q	2020		4Q	2019		4Q	2018		4Q	+3Q	a

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
Wisconsin	608	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		4Q	2017		4Q	2017		1Q	2016		4Q	+1Q	
New Jersey	609	2014		3Q	2014		2Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	2013		2Q	+1Q	
Pennsylvania	610/484	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	2012		4Q	+2Q	
Minnesota	612	2035		3Q	2035		2Q	2031		3Q	2029		3Q	2026		2Q	2026		2Q	+1Q	
Canada	613/343				2011		1Q							2011		4Q	2011		3Q		c
Ohio	614	2019		2Q	2018		4Q	2018		1Q	2017		1Q	2017		1Q	2016		1Q	+2Q	
Tennessee	615	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	N/C	
Michigan	616	2027		3Q	2027		3Q	2027		2Q	2026		4Q	2026		2Q	2024		1Q	N/C	
Massachusetts	617/857	2032		3Q	2032		1Q	2031		4Q	2031		3Q	2031		1Q	2030		4Q	+2Q	
Illinois	618	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	2013		2Q	2012		4Q	2012		1Q	2011		2Q	+1Q	
California	619	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	+2Q	
Kansas	620	2019		4Q	2018		1Q	2017		2Q	2015		4Q	2015		4Q	2015		4Q	+7Q	a
Arizona	623	2042		4Q	2042		3Q	2040		1Q	2039		3Q	2036		3Q	2036		2Q	+1Q	
California	626	2021		2Q	2020	R	4Q	2020	R	2Q	2019	R	4Q	2019	R	1Q	2018	R	4Q	+2Q	
Illinois	630/331	2036		4Q	2036		3Q	2036		2Q	2035		2Q	2035		2Q	2035		1Q	+1Q	
New York	631	2015		2Q	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	2013		4Q	2012		4Q	+2Q	
Missouri	636	2034		4Q	2034		2Q	2032		2Q	2030		3Q	2030		3Q	2029		3Q	+2Q	
Iowa	641	2025		3Q	2024		1Q	2023		2Q	2021		2Q	2020		2Q	2018		3Q	+2Q	
California	650	2023		1Q	2022		3Q	2022		1Q	2021		4Q	2021		4Q	2019		2Q	+2Q	
Minnesota	651	2031		4Q	2031		2Q	2030		1Q	2028		3Q	2026		1Q	2025		3Q	+2Q	
Missouri	660	2024		1Q	2022		2Q	2020		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2017		3Q	+7Q	a
California	661	2024		3Q	2024		2Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	2021		3Q	2020		3Q	+1Q	
Mississippi	662	2014		2Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	+2Q	
CNMI	670									2322		3Q	2322		4Q	2322		2Q			o
Guam	671									2202		4Q	2299		4Q	2299		2Q			o
American Samoa	684									2076		3Q	2076		3Q	2076		3Q			o
North Dakota	701	2016		1Q	2015		1Q	2014		3Q	2013		4Q	2013		2Q	2013		2Q	+4Q	a
Nevada	702	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2014		4Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	+2Q	
Virginia	703/571	2024		4Q	2024		2Q	2024		1Q	2023		4Q	2023		3Q	2023		1Q	+2Q	
North Carolina	704/980	2028		4Q	2028		2Q	2027		1Q	2026		3Q	2026		2Q	2025		3Q	+2Q	
Canada	705				2011		4Q	2011		4Q	2012		4Q	2015		1Q	2014		3Q		c
Georgia	706/762	2028		4Q	2028		2Q	2028		2Q	2027		2Q	2025		3Q	2025		1Q	+2Q	
California	707	2017		4Q	2017		3Q	2017		1Q	2016		3Q	2015		4Q	2014		4Q	+1Q	
Illinois	708	2014		3Q	2014		1Q	2013		4Q	2013		2Q	2013		2Q	2012		3Q	+2Q	
Canada	709							2030		3Q	2030		3Q	2028		1Q	2028		1Q		c

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2			2010.1			2009.2			2009.1			2008.2			2008.1			Change	Notes
		FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST				
		Year	R	Qtr																	
Texas	713/281/832	2014		4Q	2014		3Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	2013		1Q	+1Q	
California	714/657	2039		4Q	2039		3Q	2039		2Q	2038		4Q	2038		3Q	2008		2Q	+1Q	
Wisconsin	715/534	2039		2Q	2039		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		3Q	2011		3Q	2011		1Q	N/C	
New York	716	2019		3Q	2019		2Q	2018		3Q	2018		2Q	2017		2Q	2015		4Q	+1Q	
Pennsylvania	717	2013		3Q	2012		4Q	2012		3Q	2012		3Q	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	+3Q	a
New York	718/347	2011		3Q	2012		2Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		4Q	2011		4Q	-3Q	b
Colorado	719	2025		1Q	2024		3Q	2023		3Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	2021		2Q	+2Q	
Florida	727	2033		3Q	2033		1Q	2029		3Q	2027		4Q	2027		2Q	2026		4Q	+2Q	
Tennessee	731	2034		1Q	2033		3Q	2030		3Q	2027		4Q	2026		1Q	2024		3Q	+2Q	
New Jersey	732/848	2033		4Q	2032		3Q	2032		1Q	2031		2Q	2031		1Q	2029		2Q	+5Q	a
Michigan	734	2021		2Q	2020		4Q	2020		2Q	2020		1Q	2017		3Q	2017		1Q	+2Q	
Ohio	740	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		3Q	2012		1Q	2011		3Q	2011		2Q	+2Q	
Virginia	757	2016		3Q	2016		1Q	2015		3Q	2015		3Q	2015		3Q	2013		3Q	+2Q	
California	760/442	2038		1Q	2037		4Q	2037		3Q	2009		4Q	2009	R	4Q	2009	R	3Q	+1Q	
Minnesota	763	2032		4Q	2031		3Q	2031		3Q	2031		1Q	2030		4Q	2030		2Q	+3Q	a
Indiana	765	2018		3Q	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	2017		1Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	+1Q	
Georgia	770/678/470	2026		4Q	2026		3Q	2025		4Q	2025		1Q	2024		4Q	2023		1Q	+1Q	
Florida	772	2040		1Q	2039		4Q	2037		4Q	2037		2Q	2036		4Q	2034		3Q	+1Q	
Nevada	775	2029		1Q	2028		4Q	2027		2Q	2026		4Q	2024		2Q	2022		4Q	+1Q	
Massachusetts	781/339	2037		3Q	2036		4Q	2035		2Q	2034		4Q	2033		2Q	2031		1Q	+3Q	a
Kansas	785	2017		1Q	2016		1Q	2015		2Q	2014		1Q	2015		1Q	2016		1Q	+4Q	a
Puerto Rico	787/939	2028		2Q	2027		3Q	2027		3Q	2027		1Q	2027		1Q	2026		3Q	+3Q	a
Utah	801/385	2037		2Q	2035		4Q	2034		4Q	2034		4Q	2009		2Q	2009		2Q	+6Q	a
Vermont	802	2020		1Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		3Q	2016		3Q	+2Q	
South Carolina	803	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	2014		2Q	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	+2Q	
Virginia	804	2020		1Q	2019		3Q	2019		2Q	2019		2Q	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	+2Q	
California	805	2015		3Q	2014		4Q	2014		4Q	2014		3Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	+3Q	a
Texas	806	2017		4Q	2017		3Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2017		1Q	2017		2Q	+1Q	
Canada	807																				c
Hawaii	808	2026		4Q	2024		4Q	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2023		1Q	2021		3Q	+8Q	a
Michigan	810	2028		3Q	2028		2Q	2027		4Q	2027		2Q	2026		4Q	2026		2Q	+1Q	
Indiana	812	2014		2Q	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		3Q	2012		2Q	2011		3Q	+3Q	a
Florida	813	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		4Q	2018		2Q	2018		1Q	2018		1Q	+1Q	
Pennsylvania	814	2013		1Q	2012		2Q	2012		1Q	2012		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		4Q	+3Q	a
Illinois	815/779	2036		2Q	2036		1Q	2036		3Q	2035		2Q	2035		1Q	2035		1Q	+1Q	

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr																	
Texas	817/682	2037		2Q	2035		4Q	2034		3Q	2033		1Q	2028		1Q	2027		3Q	+6Q	a
California	818/747	2034		4Q	2034		3Q	2034		1Q	2032		4Q	2009		4Q	2009		3Q	+1Q	
Canada	819				2014		1Q	2015		1Q	2015		1Q	2017		3Q	2017		3Q		c
North Carolina	828	2020		1Q	2019		2Q	2018		2Q	2017		3Q	2015		4Q	2015		2Q	+3Q	a
Texas	830	2024		4Q	2023		1Q	2022		1Q	2021		1Q	2019		4Q	2018		4Q	+7Q	a
California	831	2037		1Q	2036		3Q	2036		2Q	2035		4Q	2034		4Q	2032		4Q	+2Q	
South Carolina	843	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		2Q	2011		3Q	2011		3Q	2011		1Q	+2Q	
New York	845	2018		3Q	2018		1Q	2017		2Q	2017		1Q	2016		1Q	2015		3Q	+2Q	
Illinois	847/224	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2022		4Q	2022		3Q	2022		2Q	2021		2Q	+2Q	
Florida	850	2014		4Q	2014		3Q	2014		2Q	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2013		1Q	+1Q	
New Jersey	856	2023		1Q	2022		3Q	2022		2Q	2021		3Q	2021		2Q	2020		1Q	+2Q	
California	858	2034		4Q	2034		3Q	2031		1Q	2030		3Q	2029		4Q	2026		4Q	+1Q	
Kentucky	859	2029		2Q	2027		3Q	2025		1Q	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2022		4Q	+3Q	a
Connecticut	860	2012		3Q	2012		2Q	2012		1Q	2011		2Q	2011		2Q	2010		4Q	+1Q	
Florida	863	2033		4Q	2033		1Q	2031		2Q	2029		3Q	2029		1Q	2027		3Q	+3Q	a
South Carolina	864	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2016		2Q	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	+2Q	
Tennessee	865	2028		4Q	2028		3Q	2027		4Q	2027		2Q	2027		1Q	2026		3Q	+1Q	
Canada	867																				c
Arkansas	870	2015		1Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2011		4Q	2011		2Q	2010		4Q	+4Q	a
Tennessee	901	2026		1Q	2025		3Q	2025		2Q	2025		1Q	2024		3Q	2023		2Q	+2Q	
Canada	902				2018		1Q	2019		2Q	2019		2Q	2018		4Q	2018		4Q		c
Texas	903/430	2028		1Q	2027		1Q	2026		2Q	2025		4Q	2025		4Q	2025		2Q	+4Q	a
Florida	904	2021		2Q	2020		3Q	2019		4Q	2018		3Q	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	+3Q	a
Michigan	906	2044		2Q	2043		4Q	2040		3Q	2038		4Q	2034		2Q	2033		4Q	+2Q	
Alaska	907	2016		4Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2013		3Q	2012		3Q	2013		1Q	+5Q	a
New Jersey	908	2021		4Q	2019		4Q	2019		1Q	2018		1Q	2017		3Q	2017		2Q	+8Q	a
California	909	2017		3Q	2016		4Q	2016		1Q	2015		3Q	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	+3Q	a
North Carolina	910	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2015		1Q	2014		3Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	+2Q	
Georgia	912	2021		2Q	2020		2Q	2019		4Q	2019		2Q	2018		4Q	2020		3Q	+4Q	a
Kansas	913	2035		2Q	2034		3Q	2033		2Q	2031		4Q	2030		1Q	2029		4Q	+3Q	
New York	914	2020		4Q	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		1Q	+4Q	a
Texas	915	2035		4Q	2035		3Q	2033		2Q	2032		1Q	2031		4Q	2031		2Q	+1Q	
California	916	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		3Q	2016		1Q	2016		1Q	2015		4Q	+2Q	
New York	917																				e
Oklahoma	918/539				2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		4Q	2011		1Q	+175Q	f,o,r

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
		2010.1 to 2010.2																			
Wisconsin	920	2014	2Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	2012	4Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
California	925	2027	3Q	2025	3Q	2025	1Q	2024	1Q	2024	1Q	2022	2Q	+8Q	a						
Arizona	928	2025	4Q	2024	4Q	2024	4Q	2023	2Q	2022	4Q	2022	2Q	+4Q	a						
Tennessee	931	2025	1Q	2024	4Q	2024	3Q	2024	1Q	2023	1Q	2022	3Q	+1Q							
Texas	936	2037	2Q	2036	3Q	2036	3Q	2036	1Q	2032	1Q	2028	4Q	+3Q	a						
Ohio	937	2015	4Q	2015	3Q	2014	4Q	2013	4Q	2012	3Q	2012	2Q	+1Q							
Texas	940	2033	1Q	2032	3Q	2031	4Q	2030	3Q	2028	1Q	2026	4Q	+2Q							
Florida	941	2031	2Q	2030	4Q	2030	3Q	2029	3Q	2029	3Q	2028	4Q	+2Q							
California	949	2034	2Q	2034	1Q	2031	4Q	2031	3Q	2025	3Q	2025	1Q	+1Q							
California	951	2025	4Q	2025	3Q	2024	1Q	2023	3Q	2021	3Q	2021	1Q	+1Q							
Minnesota	952	2035	3Q	2035	1Q	2032	1Q	2031	3Q	2028	4Q	2028	3Q	+2Q							
Florida	954/754	2036	1Q	2035	3Q	2035	2Q	2034	4Q	2034	3Q	2032	1Q	+2Q							
Texas	956	2020	1Q	2019	3Q	2018	3Q	2017	3Q	2016	2Q	2016	2Q	+2Q							
Colorado	970	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2016	4Q	2016	2Q	2015	2Q	2014	4Q	+2Q							
New Jersey	973/862	2025	3Q	2025	1Q	2024	4Q	2024	1Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q	+2Q							
Massachusetts	978/351	2036	2Q	2035	4Q	2035	3Q	2034	4Q	2034	3Q	2033	4Q	+2Q							
Texas	979	2034	2Q	2033	4Q	2033	4Q	2032	1Q	2030	2Q	2029	4Q	+2Q							
Louisiana	985	2037	4Q	2037	3Q	2035	1Q	2032	4Q	2029	3Q	2028	4Q	+1Q							
Michigan	989	2017	2Q	2016	3Q	2015	3Q	2014	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	+3Q	a						

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

## NPA Exhaust Forecasts Sorted by Location:

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr																	
Alabama	205	2014		4Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		4Q	2013		3Q	+3Q	a
Alabama	251	2033		4Q	2033		4Q	2029		2Q	2029		2Q	2028		3Q	2028		1Q	N/C	
Alabama	334	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	2015		1Q	2014		2Q	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	+2Q	
Alabama	256/938	2036		1Q	2035		2Q	2012	R	1Q	2011	R	3Q	2011	R	2Q	2010	R	4Q	+3Q	a
Alaska	907	2016		4Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2013		3Q	2012		3Q	2013		1Q	+5Q	a
American Samoa	684										2076		3Q	2076		3Q	2076		3Q		o
Arizona	480	2022		2Q	2023		4Q	2023		1Q	2022		1Q	2021		3Q	2021		3Q	-6Q	b
Arizona	520	2029		3Q	2029		1Q	2027		3Q	2027		2Q	2025		4Q	2025		3Q	+2Q	
Arizona	602	2025		3Q	2024		4Q	2023		2Q	2021		4Q	2019		4Q	2019		4Q	+3Q	a
Arizona	623	2042		4Q	2042		3Q	2040		1Q	2039		3Q	2036		3Q	2036		2Q	+1Q	
Arizona	928	2025		4Q	2024		4Q	2024		4Q	2023		2Q	2022		4Q	2022		2Q	+4Q	a
Arkansas	479	2032		4Q	2032		2Q	2030		4Q	2029		1Q	2028		3Q	2028		2Q	+2Q	
Arkansas	501	2027		1Q	2026		3Q	2026		1Q	2025		3Q	2023		1Q	2022		1Q	+2Q	
Arkansas	870	2015		1Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2011		4Q	2011		2Q	2010		4Q	+4Q	a
California	209	2023		2Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	2022		3Q	2021		3Q	2021		3Q	+1Q	
California	213	2047		1Q	2046		4Q	2038		3Q	2038		1Q	2037		3Q	2036		4Q	+1Q	
California	310/424	2041		3Q	2029		1Q	2026		3Q	2025		3Q	2023		2Q	2022		4Q	+50Q	b
California	323	2015		1Q	2014		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		1Q	2012		2Q	2012		1Q	+1Q	
California	408	2012		4Q	2012		4Q	2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2012		2Q	2012		1Q	N/C	
California	415	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		3Q	2016		1Q	2015		2Q	2014		2Q	+2Q	
California	510	2016		4Q	2015	R	1Q	2014	R	4Q	2014	R	2Q	2013	R	4Q	2013	R	3Q	+7Q	a
California	530	2018		1Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	+1Q	
California	559	2019		2Q	2019	R	1Q	2018	R	4Q	2018	R	2Q	2017	R	3Q	2017	R	1Q	+1Q	
California	562	2027		2Q	2026		3Q	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2022		1Q	2021		3Q	+3Q	a
California	619	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	2014		4Q	2014		2Q	+2Q	
California	626	2021		2Q	2020	R	4Q	2020	R	2Q	2019	R	4Q	2019	R	1Q	2018	R	4Q	+2Q	
California	650	2023		1Q	2022		3Q	2022		1Q	2021		4Q	2021		4Q	2019		2Q	+2Q	
California	661	2024		3Q	2024		2Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	2021		3Q	2020		3Q	+1Q	
California	707	2017		4Q	2017		3Q	2017		1Q	2016		3Q	2015		4Q	2014		4Q	+1Q	
California	714/657	2039		4Q	2039		3Q	2039		2Q	2038		4Q	2038		3Q	2008		2Q	+1Q	
California	760/442	2038		1Q	2037		4Q	2037		3Q	2009		4Q	2009	R	4Q	2009	R	3Q	+1Q	
California	805	2015		3Q	2014		4Q	2014		4Q	2014		3Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	+3Q	a

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2			2010.1			2009.2			2009.1			2008.2			2008.1			Change	Notes
		FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST				
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
California	831	2037	1Q	2036	3Q	2036	2Q	2035	4Q	2034	4Q	2032	4Q	2032	4Q	+2Q					
California	858	2034	4Q	2034	3Q	2031	1Q	2030	3Q	2029	4Q	2026	4Q	2026	4Q	+1Q					
California	909	2017	3Q	2016	4Q	2016	1Q	2015	3Q	2014	4Q	2014	2Q	2014	2Q	+3Q	a				
California	916	2017	2Q	2016	4Q	2016	3Q	2016	1Q	2016	1Q	2015	4Q	2015	4Q	+2Q					
California	925	2027	3Q	2025	3Q	2025	1Q	2024	1Q	2024	1Q	2022	2Q	2022	2Q	+8Q	a				
California	949	2034	2Q	2034	1Q	2031	4Q	2031	3Q	2025	3Q	2025	1Q	2025	1Q	+1Q					
California	951	2025	4Q	2025	3Q	2024	1Q	2023	3Q	2021	3Q	2021	1Q	2021	1Q	+1Q					
Canada	204			2013	2Q	2016	4Q	2011	1Q	2021	4Q	2021	4Q	2021	4Q					c	
Canada	250/778/604			2016	3Q	2019	4Q	2019	4Q	2018	4Q	2018	4Q	2018	4Q					c	
Canada	289/905			2014	1Q	2016	4Q	2028	3Q	2024	3Q	2014	3Q	2014	3Q					c	
Canada	306			2018	2Q	2022	4Q	2022	4Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q					c	
Canada	403/587/780			2020	1Q	2022	3Q	2022	3Q	2024	4Q	2024	4Q	2024	4Q					c	
Canada	416/647			2015	3Q	2021	4Q	2021	4Q	2017	1Q	2017	1Q	2017	1Q					c	
Canada	418/581											2008	4Q	2008	4Q					c	
Canada	450			2010	4Q	2010	4Q	2010	4Q	2012	3Q	2014	4Q	2014	4Q					c	
Canada	506									2027	1Q	2027	1Q	2027	1Q					c	
Canada	514/438			2029	3Q															c	
Canada	519/226			2021	2Q	2019	2Q	2019	2Q	2019	2Q	2019	2Q	2019	2Q					c	
Canada	613/343			2011	1Q					2011	4Q	2011	3Q	2011	3Q					c	
Canada	705			2011	4Q	2011	4Q	2012	4Q	2015	1Q	2014	3Q	2014	3Q					c	
Canada	709					2030	3Q	2030	3Q	2028	1Q	2028	1Q	2028	1Q					c	
Canada	807																			c	
Canada	819			2014	1Q	2015	1Q	2015	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	3Q	2017	3Q					c	
Canada	867																			c	
Canada	902			2018	1Q	2019	2Q	2019	2Q	2018	4Q	2018	4Q	2018	4Q					c	
CNMI	670							2322	3Q	2322	4Q	2322	2Q	2322	2Q					o	
Colorado	303/720	2021	2Q	2025	3Q	2025	4Q	2025	2Q	2025	2Q	2025	2Q	2025	2Q	-17Q	a				
Colorado	719	2025	1Q	2024	3Q	2023	3Q	2023	1Q	2022	4Q	2021	2Q	2021	2Q	+2Q					
Colorado	970	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2016	4Q	2016	2Q	2015	2Q	2014	4Q	2014	4Q	+2Q					
Connecticut	203/475							2010	2Q	2010	2Q	2010	2Q	2010	2Q	N/A	o				
Connecticut	860	2012	3Q	2012	2Q	2012	1Q	2011	2Q	2011	2Q	2010	4Q	2010	4Q	+1Q					
Delaware	302	2026	2Q	2026	2Q	2025	3Q	2025	2Q	2025	1Q	2024	4Q	2024	4Q	N/C					
District of Columbia	202	2018	4Q	2019	4Q	2019	3Q	2020	1Q	2021	1Q	2022	4Q	2022	4Q	-4Q	b				
Florida	239	2031	2Q	2030	3Q	2030	4Q	2029	3Q	2029	2Q	2027	4Q	2027	4Q	+3Q	a				
Florida	305/786	2024	2Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q	2022	4Q	2021	3Q	2020	4Q	2020	4Q	+2Q	h				

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
		2010.1 to 2010.2																			
Florida	321A	2033		2Q	2032		3Q	2032		1Q	2031		3Q	2031		2Q	2029		2Q	+3Q	a, g
Florida	352	2021		4Q	2021		2Q	2021		2Q	2020		4Q	2020		1Q	2019		3Q	+2Q	
Florida	386	2033		1Q	2032		3Q	2029		4Q	2029		3Q	2029		1Q	2028		3Q	+2Q	
Florida	407/321	2013		4Q	2013		2Q	2013		1Q	2012		2Q	2011		3Q	2011		1Q	+2Q	g
Florida	561	2022		4Q	2022		2Q	2022		1Q	2021		3Q	2021		2Q	2019		3Q	+2Q	
Florida	727	2033		3Q	2033		1Q	2029		3Q	2027		4Q	2027		2Q	2026		4Q	+2Q	
Florida	772	2040		1Q	2039		4Q	2037		4Q	2037		2Q	2036		4Q	2034		3Q	+1Q	
Florida	813	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		4Q	2018		2Q	2018		1Q	2018		1Q	+1Q	
Florida	850	2014		4Q	2014		3Q	2014		2Q	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2013		1Q	+1Q	
Florida	863	2033		4Q	2033		1Q	2031		2Q	2029		3Q	2029		1Q	2027		3Q	+3Q	a
Florida	904	2021		2Q	2020		3Q	2019		4Q	2018		3Q	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	+3Q	a
Florida	941	2031		2Q	2030		4Q	2030		3Q	2029		3Q	2029		3Q	2028		4Q	+2Q	
Florida	954/754	2036		1Q	2035		3Q	2035		2Q	2034		4Q	2034		3Q	2032		1Q	+2Q	
Georgia	229	2018		4Q	2018		4Q	2015		2Q	2014		2Q	2013		1Q	2015		3Q	N/C	
Georgia	404	2015		1Q	2015		1Q	2015		2Q	2014		3Q	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	N/C	
Georgia	478	2031		3Q	2031		1Q	2030		4Q	2030		2Q	2028		3Q	2029		2Q	+2Q	
Georgia	706/762	2028		4Q	2028		2Q	2028		2Q	2027		2Q	2025		3Q	2025		1Q	+2Q	
Georgia	770/678/470	2026		4Q	2026		3Q	2025		4Q	2025		1Q	2024		4Q	2023		1Q	+1Q	
Georgia	912	2021		2Q	2020		2Q	2019		4Q	2019		2Q	2018		4Q	2020		3Q	+4Q	a
Guam	671									2202		4Q	2299		4Q	2299		2Q			o
Hawaii	808	2026		4Q	2024		4Q	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2023		1Q	2021		3Q	+8Q	a
Idaho	208	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	2014		1Q	2013		2Q	2012		2Q	2012		1Q	+2Q	
Illinois	217	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		2Q	+1Q	
Illinois	309	2017		4Q	2017		1Q	2016		1Q	2015		2Q	2014		3Q	2013		4Q	+3Q	a
Illinois	312/773/872	2030		1Q	2029		3Q	2029		2Q										+2Q	m
Illinois	618	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	2013		2Q	2012		4Q	2012		1Q	2011		2Q	+1Q	
Illinois	630/331	2036		4Q	2036		3Q	2036		2Q	2035		2Q	2035		2Q	2035		1Q	+1Q	
Illinois	708	2014		3Q	2014		1Q	2013		4Q	2013		2Q	2013		2Q	2012		3Q	+2Q	
Illinois	815/779	2036		2Q	2036		1Q	2036		3Q	2035		2Q	2035		1Q	2035		1Q	+1Q	
Illinois	847/224	2023		4Q	2023		2Q	2022		4Q	2022		3Q	2022		2Q	2021		2Q	+2Q	
Indiana	219	2032		2Q	2031		3Q	2031		2Q	2030		3Q	2030		3Q	2029		4Q	+3Q	a
Indiana	260	2035		2Q	2034		4Q	2034		4Q	2032		3Q	2030		3Q	2030		2Q	+2Q	
Indiana	317	2017		1Q	2017		1Q	2015		4Q	2015		1Q	2014		1Q	2013		4Q	N/C	
Indiana	574	2036		4Q	2036		1Q	2035		4Q	2035		2Q	2034		4Q	2034		2Q	+3Q	a
Indiana	765	2018		3Q	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	2017		1Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	+1Q	

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
		2010.1 to 2010.2																			
Iowa	319	2023	1Q	2022	3Q	2021	2Q	2020	2Q	2018	4Q	2017	3Q	+2Q							
Iowa	515	2025	4Q	2025	4Q	2024	3Q	2024	1Q	2021	2Q	2019	4Q	N/C							
Iowa	563	2036	1Q	2035	4Q	2034	1Q	2031	1Q	2028	3Q	2027	4Q	+1Q							
Iowa	641	2025	3Q	2024	1Q	2023	2Q	2021	2Q	2020	2Q	2018	3Q	+2Q							
Iowa	712	2023	4Q	2022	4Q	2022	3Q	2021	3Q	2020	3Q	2019	3Q	+4Q	a						
Kansas	316	2046	3Q	2040	4Q	2040	2Q	2037	4Q	2037	3Q	2037	1Q	+23Q	a						
Kansas	620	2019	4Q	2018	1Q	2017	2Q	2015	4Q	2015	4Q	2015	4Q	+7Q	a						
Kansas	785	2017	1Q	2016	1Q	2015	2Q	2014	1Q	2015	1Q	2016	1Q	+4Q	a						
Kansas	913	2035	2Q	2034	3Q	2033	2Q	2031	4Q	2030	1Q	2029	4Q	+3Q							
Kentucky	270	2014	3Q	2014	1Q	2012	4Q	2012	R	2Q	2011	R	2Q	2010	R	3Q	+2Q				
Kentucky	502	2023	4Q	2023	2Q	2022	2Q	2021	1Q	2019	3Q	2018	3Q	+2Q							
Kentucky	606	2023	4Q	2023	1Q	2021	2Q	2020	4Q	2019	4Q	2018	4Q	+3Q	a						
Kentucky	859	2029	2Q	2027	3Q	2025	1Q	2023	4Q	2023	2Q	2022	4Q	+3Q	a						
Louisiana	225	2031	3Q	2031	2Q	2029	4Q	2029	3Q	2029	1Q	2028	3Q	+1Q							
Louisiana	318	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	2Q	2016	4Q	2016	1Q	2015	4Q	+2Q							
Louisiana	337	2022	1Q	2021	3Q	2019	4Q	2018	3Q	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	+2Q							
Louisiana	504	2030	2Q	2029	4Q	2028	1Q	2027	4Q	2026	1Q	2024	3Q	+2Q							
Louisiana	985	2037	4Q	2037	3Q	2035	1Q	2032	4Q	2029	3Q	2028	4Q	+1Q							
Maine	207	2016	3Q	2016	1Q	2015	2Q	2014	4Q	2014	4Q	2014	4Q	+2Q							
Maryland	301/240	2022	1Q	2021	4Q	2021	2Q	2022	3Q	2022	2Q	2021	3Q	+1Q							
Maryland	410/443	2012	2Q	2012	1Q	2011	4Q	2011	3Q	2011	2Q	2011	2Q	+1Q							
Massachusetts	413	2025	3Q	2024	4Q	2024	3Q	2024	1Q	2023	3Q	2021	3Q	+3Q	a						
Massachusetts	508/774	2021	1Q	2020	3Q	2020	3Q	2020	1Q	2019	1Q	2018	1Q	+2Q							
Massachusetts	617/857	2032	3Q	2032	1Q	2031	4Q	2031	3Q	2031	1Q	2030	4Q	+2Q							
Massachusetts	781/339	2037	3Q	2036	4Q	2035	2Q	2034	4Q	2033	2Q	2031	1Q	+3Q	a						
Massachusetts	978/351	2036	2Q	2035	4Q	2035	3Q	2034	4Q	2034	3Q	2033	4Q	+2Q							
Michigan	231	2032	1Q	2031	4Q	2031	4Q	2030	3Q	2026	2Q	2026	2Q	+1Q							
Michigan	248/947	2042	2Q	2040	2Q	2037	3Q	2036	1Q	2035	3Q	2032	1Q	+8Q	a						
Michigan	269	2028	3Q	2028	2Q	2028	2Q	2028	1Q	2025	4Q	2025	3Q	+1Q							
Michigan	313	2020	1Q	2019	3Q	2019	3Q	2018	4Q	2018	2Q	2017	2Q	+2Q							
Michigan	517	2021	1Q	2020	3Q	2020	2Q	2019	4Q	2018	4Q	2017	4Q	+2Q							
Michigan	586	2032	4Q	2031	3Q	2031	3Q	2031	3Q	2027	4Q	2026	4Q	+5Q	a						
Michigan	616	2027	3Q	2027	3Q	2027	2Q	2026	4Q	2026	2Q	2024	1Q	N/C							
Michigan	734	2021	2Q	2020	4Q	2020	2Q	2020	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	1Q	+2Q							
Michigan	810	2028	3Q	2028	2Q	2027	4Q	2027	2Q	2026	4Q	2026	2Q	+1Q							

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
		2010.1 to 2010.2																			
Michigan	989	2017	2Q	2016	3Q	2015	3Q	2014	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	+3Q	a						
Minnesota	218	2018	2Q	2017	4Q	2017	2Q	2017	1Q	2017	1Q	2017	1Q	+2Q							
Minnesota	320	2027	1Q	2026	2Q	2025	1Q	2024	3Q	2024	3Q	2024	3Q	+3Q	a						
Minnesota	507	2015	3Q	2016	1Q	2015	3Q	2015	1Q	2014	1Q	2013	3Q	-2Q							
Minnesota	612	2035	3Q	2035	2Q	2031	3Q	2029	3Q	2026	2Q	2026	2Q	+1Q							
Minnesota	651	2031	4Q	2031	2Q	2030	1Q	2028	3Q	2026	1Q	2025	3Q	+2Q							
Minnesota	763	2032	4Q	2031	3Q	2031	3Q	2031	1Q	2030	4Q	2030	2Q	+3Q	a						
Minnesota	952	2035	3Q	2035	1Q	2032	1Q	2031	3Q	2028	4Q	2028	3Q	+2Q							
Mississippi	228	2046	1Q	2045	3Q	2042	1Q	2039	3Q	2039	1Q	2038	3Q	+2Q							
Mississippi	601/769	2034	4Q	2034	3Q	2034	2Q	2033	4Q	2033	2Q	2032	4Q	+1Q							
Mississippi	662	2014	2Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	2012	4Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
Missouri	314	2021	1Q	2020	3Q	2019	2Q	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	4Q	+2Q							
Missouri	417	2017	4Q	2017	2Q	2015	3Q	2013	2Q	2012	3Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
Missouri	573	2018	1Q	2017	2Q	2016	3Q	2015	2Q	2013	4Q	2012	3Q	+3Q	a						
Missouri	636	2034	4Q	2034	2Q	2032	2Q	2030	3Q	2030	3Q	2029	3Q	+2Q							
Missouri	660	2024	1Q	2022	2Q	2020	4Q	2019	3Q	2018	3Q	2017	3Q	+7Q	a						
Missouri	816	2020	3Q	2020	1Q	2019	2Q	2018	3Q	2017	1Q	2016	1Q	+2Q							
Montana	406	2016	2Q	2015	4Q	2015	1Q	2013	4Q	2012	4Q	2011	4Q	+2Q							
Nebraska	308	2036	3Q	2035	2Q	2033	2Q	2033	2Q	2031	2Q	2030	4Q	+5Q	a						
Nebraska	402/531			2011	4Q	2011	3Q	2011	2Q	2010	3Q	2010	2Q		f, o, q						
Nevada	702	2015	3Q	2015	1Q	2014	4Q	2014	1Q	2013	3Q	2013	2Q	+2Q							
Nevada	775	2029	1Q	2028	4Q	2027	2Q	2026	4Q	2024	2Q	2022	4Q	+1Q							
New Hampshire	603	2013	2Q	2012	3Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	2011	2Q	2011	1Q	+3Q	a						
New Jersey	201/551	2049	2Q	2049	1Q	2043	1Q	2042	1Q	2042	1Q	2037	2Q	+Q1							
New Jersey	609	2014	3Q	2014	2Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	2013	2Q	2013	2Q	+1Q							
New Jersey	732/848	2033	4Q	2032	3Q	2032	1Q	2031	2Q	2031	1Q	2029	2Q	+5Q	a						
New Jersey	856	2023	1Q	2022	3Q	2022	2Q	2021	3Q	2021	2Q	2020	1Q	+2Q							
New Jersey	908	2021	4Q	2019	4Q	2019	1Q	2018	1Q	2017	3Q	2017	2Q	+8Q	a						
New Jersey	973/862	2025	3Q	2025	1Q	2024	4Q	2024	1Q	2023	4Q	2023	4Q	+2Q							
New Mexico	505	2023	4Q	2023	3Q	2023	2Q	2023	1Q	2022	4Q	2009	1Q	+1Q							
New Mexico	575	2028	2Q	2027	4Q	2027	3Q	2027	3Q	2027	2Q			+2Q							
New York	212/646	2016	2Q	2016	2Q	2015	2Q	2014	4Q	2014	2Q	2014	2Q	N/C							
New York	315	2013	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	4Q	2013	1Q	2012	1Q	2011	1Q	N/C							
New York	516	2018	4Q	2018	3Q	2018	2Q	2017	3Q	2016	3Q	2015	4Q	+1Q							
New York	518	2016	4Q	2016	1Q	2015	3Q	2015	1Q	2014	3Q	2013	3Q	+3Q							

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2			2010.1			2009.2			2009.1			2008.2			2008.1			Change	Notes
		FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST				
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
New York	607	2029	2Q	2028	4Q	2026	1Q	2025	4Q	2023	1Q	2020	3Q	+2Q							
New York	631	2015	2Q	2014	4Q	2014	2Q	2014	1Q	2013	4Q	2012	4Q	+2Q							
New York	716	2019	3Q	2019	2Q	2018	3Q	2018	2Q	2017	2Q	2015	4Q	+1Q							
New York	718/347	2011	3Q	2012	2Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	2011	4Q	2011	4Q	-3Q						b	
New York	845	2018	3Q	2018	1Q	2017	2Q	2017	1Q	2016	1Q	2015	3Q	+2Q							
New York	914	2020	4Q	2019	4Q	2019	3Q	2018	3Q	2018	3Q	2018	1Q	+4Q						a	
New York	917																			e	
North Carolina	252	2022	2Q	2020	4Q	2019	2Q	2019	1Q	2016	3Q	2016	1Q	+6Q						a	
North Carolina	336	2014	4Q	2014	3Q	2014	2Q	2014	2Q	2013	3Q	2013	1Q	+1Q							
North Carolina	704/980	2028	4Q	2028	2Q	2027	1Q	2026	3Q	2026	2Q	2025	3Q	+2Q							
North Carolina	828	2020	1Q	2019	2Q	2018	2Q	2017	3Q	2015	4Q	2015	2Q	+3Q						a	
North Carolina	910	2015	3Q	2015	1Q	2015	1Q	2014	3Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	+2Q							
North Carolina	919/984	2043	3Q	2041	3Q	2041	3Q	2041	1Q	2040	3Q	2040	3Q	+8Q						a, p	
North Dakota	701	2016	1Q	2015	1Q	2014	3Q	2013	4Q	2013	2Q	2013	2Q	+4Q						a	
Ohio	216	2039	2Q	2039	1Q	2032	4Q	2032	3Q	2027	4Q	2027	1Q	+1Q							
Ohio	330/234	2032	2Q	2032	1Q	2031	4Q	2031	2Q	2031	1Q	2030	3Q	+1Q							
Ohio	419/567	2026	3Q	2025	4Q	2025	3Q	2024	3Q	2023	1Q	2022	3Q	+3Q						a	
Ohio	440	2021	3Q	2020	4Q	2020	2Q	2019	3Q	2018	1Q	2017	4Q	+3Q						a	
Ohio	513	2020	2Q	2020	1Q	2020	1Q	2018	3Q	2017	3Q	2016	3Q	+1Q							
Ohio	614	2019	2Q	2018	4Q	2018	1Q	2017	1Q	2017	1Q	2016	1Q	+2Q							
Ohio	740	2013	3Q	2013	1Q	2012	3Q	2012	1Q	2011	3Q	2011	2Q	+2Q							
Ohio	937	2015	4Q	2015	3Q	2014	4Q	2013	4Q	2012	3Q	2012	2Q	+1Q							
Oklahoma	405	2017	4Q	2017	2Q	2017	2Q	2016	2Q	2015	4Q	2016	2Q	+2Q							
Oklahoma	580	2017	2Q	2016	3Q	2015	2Q	2014	4Q	2013	4Q	2012	3Q	+3Q						a	
Oklahoma	918/539			2012	4Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	2011	4Q	2011	1Q	+175Q						f, o, r	
Oregon	503/971	2035	2Q	2034	2Q	2034	2Q	2034	2Q	2033	4Q	2032	2Q	+4Q						a, j	
Oregon	541/458	2033	3Q	2032	4Q	2031	1Q	2010	2Q	2010	4Q	2011	1Q	+3Q							
Pennsylvania	215/267	2015	4Q	2015	2Q	2014	3Q	2014	3Q	2014	3Q	2014	2Q	+2Q							
Pennsylvania	412/878/724	2028	2Q	2027	3Q	2026	3Q	2026	2Q	2026	1Q	2025	4Q	+3Q						a	
Pennsylvania	570	2012	3Q	2011	3Q	2011	3Q	2011	3Q	2012	2Q	2011	4Q	+4Q						a	
Pennsylvania	610/484	2014	4Q	2014	2Q	2013	4Q	2013	3Q	2013	2Q	2012	4Q	+2Q							
Pennsylvania	717	2013	3Q	2012	4Q	2012	3Q	2012	3Q	2013	3Q	2013	1Q	+3Q						a	
Pennsylvania	814	2013	1Q	2012	2Q	2012	1Q	2012	3Q	2013	1Q	2012	4Q	+3Q						a	
Puerto Rico	787/939	2028	2Q	2027	3Q	2027	3Q	2027	1Q	2027	1Q	2026	3Q	+3Q						a	
Rhode Island	401	2023	3Q	2022	3Q	2021	3Q	2021	1Q	2019	4Q	2019	4Q	+4Q							

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2 FCST			2010.1 FCST			2009.2 FCST			2009.1 FCST			2008.2 FCST			2008.1 FCST			Change	Notes
		Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr	Year	R	Qtr		
		2010.1 to 2010.2																			
South Carolina	843	2013		3Q	2013		1Q	2012		2Q	2011		3Q	2011		3Q	2011		1Q	+2Q	
South Carolina	864	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2016		2Q	2016		2Q	2015		4Q	+2Q	
South Dakota	605	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		4Q	2018		1Q	2016		3Q	2015		4Q	+1Q	
Tennessee	423	2018		4Q	2018		1Q	2018		1Q	2017		3Q	2017		1Q	2016		4Q	+3Q	a
Tennessee	615	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	N/C	
Tennessee	731	2034		1Q	2033		3Q	2030		3Q	2027		4Q	2026		1Q	2024		3Q	+2Q	
Tennessee	865	2028		4Q	2028		3Q	2027		4Q	2027		2Q	2027		1Q	2026		3Q	+1Q	
Tennessee	901	2026		1Q	2025		3Q	2025		2Q	2025		1Q	2024		3Q	2023		2Q	+2Q	
Tennessee	931	2025		1Q	2024		4Q	2024		3Q	2024		1Q	2023		1Q	2022		3Q	+1Q	
Texas	210	2018		2Q	2018		2Q	2017		2Q	2016		3Q	2015		3Q	2015		1Q	N/C	
Texas	214/972/469	2021		3Q	2021		2Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		1Q	2017		3Q	+1Q	
Texas	254	2024		1Q	2023		4Q	2022		4Q	2022		2Q	2021		1Q	2020		3Q	+1Q	
Texas	325	2035		3Q	2035		2Q	2033		3Q	2031		4Q	2029		2Q	2028		4Q	+1Q	
Texas	361	2022		2Q	2021		1Q	2018		4Q	2017		4Q	2016		3Q	2016		1Q	+5Q	a
Texas	409	2033		4Q	2034		1Q	2031		3Q	2029		4Q	2028		2Q	2028		3Q	-1Q	
Texas	432	2038		4Q	2037		4Q	2037		1Q	2036		3Q	2033		1Q	2032		4Q	+4Q	a
Texas	512	2014		2Q	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	2012		3Q	2012		1Q	2012		1Q	N/C	
Texas	713/281/832	2014		4Q	2014		3Q	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2013		2Q	2013		1Q	+1Q	
Texas	806	2017		4Q	2017		3Q	2017		4Q	2017		2Q	2017		1Q	2017		2Q	+1Q	
Texas	817/682	2037		2Q	2035		4Q	2034		3Q	2033		1Q	2028		1Q	2027		3Q	+6Q	a
Texas	830	2024		4Q	2023		1Q	2022		1Q	2021		1Q	2019		4Q	2018		4Q	+7Q	a
Texas	903/430	2028		1Q	2027		1Q	2026		2Q	2025		4Q	2025		4Q	2025		2Q	+4Q	a
Texas	915	2035		4Q	2035		3Q	2033		2Q	2032		1Q	2031		4Q	2031		2Q	+1Q	
Texas	936	2037		2Q	2036		3Q	2036		3Q	2036		1Q	2032		1Q	2028		4Q	+3Q	a
Texas	940	2033		1Q	2032		3Q	2031		4Q	2030		3Q	2028		1Q	2026		4Q	+2Q	
Texas	956	2020		1Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2017		3Q	2016		2Q	2016		2Q	+2Q	
Texas	979	2034		2Q	2033		4Q	2033		4Q	2032		1Q	2030		2Q	2029		4Q	+2Q	
Utah	435	2033		3Q	2033		2Q	2031		2Q	2030		3Q	2030		2Q	2026		2Q	+1Q	
Utah	801/385	2037		2Q	2035		4Q	2034		4Q	2034		4Q	2009		2Q	2009		2Q	+6Q	a
Vermont	802	2020		1Q	2019		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		3Q	2018		3Q	2016		3Q	+2Q	
Virgin Islands	340									2131		4Q	2131		2Q	2131		1Q			o
Virginia	276						2050		3Q	2050		2Q	2050		1Q	2049		4Q			o
Virginia	434	2037		1Q	2036		2Q	2035		4Q	2036		3Q	2036		3Q	2033		3Q	+3Q	a
Virginia	540	2017		3Q	2017		1Q	2016		4Q	2017		3Q	2017		3Q	2017		3Q	+2Q	
Virginia	703/571	2024		4Q	2024		2Q	2024		1Q	2023		4Q	2023		3Q	2023		1Q	+2Q	

# 2010 NRUF AND NPA EXHAUST ANALYSIS

LOCATION	NPA	2010.2			2010.1			2009.2			2009.1			2008.2			2008.1			Change	Notes
		FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST			FCST				
		Year	R	Qtr																	
Virginia	804	2020		1Q	2019		3Q	2019		2Q	2019		2Q	2018		2Q	2017		4Q	+2Q	
Washington	206	2025		1Q	2023		3Q	2021		2Q	2020		4Q	2020		3Q	2023		2Q	+6Q	a
Washington	253	2031		4Q	2030		4Q	2029		4Q	2028		3Q	2028		2Q	2026		3Q	+4Q	a
Washington	360	2014		1Q	2013		3Q	2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	+2Q	
Washington	425	2032		3Q	2031		4Q	2031		3Q	2030		4Q	2030		3Q	2031		1Q	+3Q	a
Washington	509	2017		2Q	2016		4Q	2016		1Q	2015		1Q	2014		2Q	2014		1Q	+2Q	
West Virginia	304/681	2036		1Q	2035		4Q	2035		2Q	2035		1Q	2035		1Q	2009		1Q	+1Q	
Wisconsin	262	2026		2Q	2026		1Q	2026		1Q	2024		4Q	2023		1Q	2022		4Q	+1Q	
Wisconsin	414	2034		1Q	2033		1Q	2033		1Q	2032		3Q	2032		2Q	2032		1Q	+4Q	a
Wisconsin	608	2019		4Q	2019		3Q	2018		4Q	2017		4Q	2017		1Q	2016		4Q	+1Q	
Wisconsin	715/534	2039		2Q	2039		2Q	2011		4Q	2011		3Q	2011		3Q	2011		1Q	N/C	
Wisconsin	920	2014		2Q	2013		4Q	2013		3Q	2012		4Q	2012		2Q	2011		4Q	+2Q	
Wyoming	307	2029		3Q	2028		4Q	2026		3Q	2026		1Q	2026		1Q	2025		1Q	+3Q	a

## Notes:

- a. Reduced historical and projected demand.
- b. Increased historical and projected demand.
- c. Forecast based upon information provided by the Canadian Numbering Administration (CNA).  
The CNA normally provides only one projection per year. Change is from last forecast provided.
- d. Canadian NPA. With an exhaust date beyond 2032, there is no exhaust date provided.
- e. NPA is at exhaust. No codes available except for returns.
- f. New NPA added.
- g. Area Code 321A includes only Brevard County Florida; 407/321 includes the Counties around Orlando in Central Florida
- h. Area Code 305A includes only the Keys area of Florida; Area Code 305/786 is the Miami-Dade area of Florida.
- i. "Intentionally left blank."
- j. Area Code 503A has been combined into Overlay Complex 503/971.
- k. Reflects Delta NRUF forecast.
- l. The "R" refers to the forecast projection made at the published ration level alone.
- m. New NPA overlay complex in Illinois; 872 overlays 312 and 773; 773 and 312 are not overlaying each other.
- n. "Intentionally left blank."
- o. Exhaust beyond 40 years.
- p. Exhaust of NPA 919 alone is 3Q2012.
- q. Exhaust of NPA 402 alone is 2Q2012.
- r. Exhaust of NPA 918 alone is 3Q2013.

# ATTACHMENT 7 – 2010 NANP EXHAUST ANALYSIS

## Introduction

NANPA projects the exhaust of the NANP based upon the utilization and forecast data submitted by carriers via the NRUF process. The following assumptions were used in this exhaust analysis, which was published in October 2010.

## October 2010 NANP Exhaust Projection Assumptions

The following is a list of assumptions used in the development of the October 2010 NANP exhaust projection prepared by NANPA. These are the same assumptions used in previous NANP exhaust studies.

1. The NANP exhaust study uses as its basis the CO code demand, which includes service provider and Pooling Administrator forecasts, historical CO code assignments and other NPA-specific information, calculated for each respective NPA. The monthly CO code demand as calculated in the NPA exhaust analysis is straight-lined to determine demand outside the five-year time frame included in NRUF submissions.
2. For NPAs in rationing, NANPA compared the actual CO code demand over the past year(s) with the rationed amount. In addition, NANPA compared the forecasted CO code demand provided by service providers and/or the Pooling Administrator to the rationed amount. Based upon this analysis, NANPA identified an average annual CO code demand rate for the NPA.
3. A new NPA will be required when the number of assigned and unavailable CO codes reaches 800.
4. It is assumed that each new NPA will require the same number of unassignable codes as the current NPA. It appears that most of the unassignable codes in the existing NPAs are duplicated in the new NPA. There are also times when additional codes in the new NPA are marked unassignable.
5. No assumptions were made with regard to the relief method implemented (i.e., NPA split vs. overlay). However, it was assumed that the selected relief method did not require the duplication or protection of central office codes above those identified in number 4 above.
6. The CO code demand for an exhausting NPA will be continued after relief. By doing so, the demand for both the existing and new NPAs will be taken into account for the geographic area covered by the original NPA.

7. The total quantity of available NPA codes will be 685 NPAs. This figure is derived as follows: 800 NPAs less NPAs reserved for NANP expansion (80), N11 codes (8), 555 and 950 NPAs (2), toll-free NPAs (12)<sup>1</sup> and non-geographic NPAs (11).<sup>2</sup>
8. To account for the variability of demand, a sensitivity analysis was performed to the CO code demand (i.e., demand will be increased and decreased by increments of 10%) to understand the impact on NANP exhaust.

## Results based on Assumptions

As recognized in previous NANP exhaust analyses, the model is sensitive to the yearly CO code demand rate. Using the monthly CO code demand for each NPA as calculated in the October 2010 NPA Exhaust Analysis, and straight-lining this demand beyond the five-year time frame included in NRUF submissions, creates an average yearly demand rate of 6,100 CO codes. This yearly demand rate was compared with demand rates in 2003 through 2009.

Year	Annual Gross CO Code Demand	Annual Net CO Code Demand
2003	3,200	1,400
2004	3,100	2,100
2005	3,300	2,300
2006	4,100	3,400
2007	3,200	2,900
2008	2,900	2,200
2009	2,100	1,600
2010 (Annualized)	2,800	2,500

In order to provide a NANP exhaust analysis more reflective of the current industry trend in terms of yearly CO code demand, NANPA selected a base case with an average annual demand of 5,500 CO codes.<sup>3</sup> This represents approximately a 10% reduction in the annual demand created using the October 2010 NPA Exhaust Analysis. Although this number is higher than the gross CO code demand in previous years, it accounts for any possible increase in CO code demand that may occur over the remaining years of the NANP life.

1. NPAs 844, 833, 822, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887 and 889.
2. These include the 5 codes reserved for future PCS expansion (522, 544, 566, 577 and 588) and 6 of the codes reserved for Canada (622, 633, 644, 655, 677 and 688).
3. The base model used in the April 2010 study used an average demand rate of 5,500 codes. The October 2009 study used an average demand rate of 5,700 codes.

# 2010 NANP EXHAUST ANALYSIS

---

## **Model Based on Projected Demand**

Using an average CO code demand rate of 5,500 codes assigned per year, the projected NANP exhaust date is beyond 2040, assuming the quantity of NPAs available remains 685.<sup>4</sup>

## **Sensitivity Analysis**

Due to the results of the base model, the only sensitivity analysis performed was an increase in the average annual CO code demand on the results. For comparison purposes, NANPA performed a sensitivity analysis using an average annual demand of 6,100 CO codes, which represented the gross demand as calculated from the October 2010 NPA Exhaust Analysis. This resulted in a projected exhaust beyond 2040.

4. The base model for the April 2010 NANP Exhaust study projected an exhaust date beyond 2040.

# ATTACHMENT 8 – WHERE TO FIND NUMBERING INFORMATION

---

Many key numbering documents are available through the Internet. Here are some useful sites.

## **[www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com)**

This is the official NANPA website. Its contents include:

- Assignment listings for NANP numbering resources, including area codes, CICs, N11 codes, vertical service codes, etc.
- Relief planning information for the U.S. and its territories, including a status chart, planning letters, and press releases.
- Central office code assignment information for the U.S. and its territories.
- Contact information for numbering resources.
- Jeopardy procedures.
- Information for NRUF submissions.
- U.S. area code maps.

## **[www.cnac.ca](http://www.cnac.ca)**

This is the Canadian Numbering Administrator's site. This site is the master reference for Canadian numbering assignment information and includes information similar to that provided by [www.nanpa.com](http://www.nanpa.com) for the U.S. and its territories.

## **[www.nationalpooling.com](http://www.nationalpooling.com)**

This is the National Thousands-Block Pooling Administration's site. Information concerning thousands-assignments and availability can be found here.

## **[www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov)**

Sections of the FCC's website of particular interest are:

- [www.fcc.gov/wcb](http://www.fcc.gov/wcb) - the home page of the Wireline Competition Bureau. Orders related to numbering topics, including the Number Resource Optimization (NRO) orders, can be found here.
- <http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/cpd/Nanc> - the home page for the North American Numbering Council (NANC), a federal advisory committee of the FCC that provides analysis and recommendations to the FCC on numbering issues. This site contains their charter, meeting minutes and membership lists.

## **[www.crtc.gc.ca](http://www.crtc.gc.ca)**

This is the site for the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission, the Canadian regulator.

## **[www.nanc-chair.org](http://www.nanc-chair.org)**

This is the home page for the Chair of the NANC. It contains presentations and reports provided to the NANC on issues currently being addressed by the Council. Also included is documentation from the various NANC working groups and issue management groups.

## **[www.atis.org](http://www.atis.org)**

This is the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) site. It has several sections of interest for numbering. Of particular interest is the Industry Numbering Committee (INC). All finalized INC documents are available for download, including assignment guidelines for numbering resources.

## **[www.itu.int](http://www.itu.int)**

This is the home page of the International Telecommunications Union in Geneva, Switzerland, the group that sets international standards for telephone numbers. Although much of the information on the site is available to ITU members only, some documents are available to all, including a list of assigned country codes.

## **[www.naruc.org](http://www.naruc.org)**

This is the home page of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. NARUC and its committees frequently take positions on numbering issues. Links to all of the state commissions' websites can be found at this site.

- <http://www.naruc.org/commissions.cfm> – provides links to state regulatory commission websites.

## **[www.npac.com](http://www.npac.com)**

This is the site for the Number Portability Administration Center or NPAC. The NPAC facilitates local number portability, the ability to change your service provider while retaining your telephone number.

## **[www.sms800.com](http://www.sms800.com)**

This site contains information about the 800 Service Management System (SMS/800) which is the central administration system for the management of Toll Free Services.

## **[www.ESQK.com](http://www.ESQK.com)**

This is the site of the Interim Routing Number Authority (IRNA) for the pseudo Automatic Number Identification (p-ANI) codes which are used for routing emergency calls for Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services.

## WHERE TO FIND NUMBERING INFORMATION

---

### **[www.mbiadmin.com](http://www.mbiadmin.com)**

This is the home page for the USA and Puerto Rico wireless number resource administrator for Mobile Identification Numbers (MIN), called the MIN Block Identifier (MBI). MBI Administration was created in 2002 when the MIN was separated from the Mobile Directory Number (MDN) and became a new number resource to support nationwide roaming, wireless number portability and number pooling.

### **[www.neca.org](http://www.neca.org)**

This is the site of the National Exchange Carriers Association (NECA). NECA administers the FCC's "access charge" plan. (Access charges are the fees long distance companies pay to access the local phone network to complete calls.)

# ATTACHMENT 9 – CONTACTS IN THE COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN

Country	Contact for Formal Letters and Policy Issues	Contact for Day-to-Day Regulatory Numbering Issues	Contact for Central Office Code Administration
Anguilla	Hon. Evan Gumbs Minister of Infrastructure, Communications, Utilities and Housing PO Box 60 Coronation Avenue The Valley, Anguilla West Indies Phone: 264-497-2442 Fax: 264-497-5695	Larry Franklin Permanent Secretary MICUH Coronation Avenue PO Box 60 The Valley, Anguilla British West Indies Phone: 264-497-2651 Fax: 264-497-3651 larryf@gov.ai	Mr. Bill Withers Public Utilities Corporation The Valley, Anguilla Phone: 264-497-2442 Fax: 264-497-3651 bill.withers@gov.ai
Antigua & Barbuda	Hon. Dr. Edmund Mansoor Minister of State – Information, Broadcasting & Telecommunications Sealy Business Complex, Sir George Walter Highway St. John's, Antigua, West Indies Phone: 268-562-2157 Fax: 268-562-2801	Mr. Clement Samuel Telecommunications Officer Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and Telecommunications Telecommunications Division 4th floor State Insurance Business Center Thames and Long Street St. John's, Antigua, West Indies Phone: 268-562-1868 Fax: 268-562-1872 telecom@antigua.gov.ag	
Bahamas	Usman Saadat Director of Policy & Regulations Utilities Regulation and Competition Authority (URCA) Agape House 4th Terrace East Collins Avenue PO Box N 4860 Nassau, Bahamas usaadat@urcabahamas.bs		Leonard S. Adderley SCO Utilities Regulation and Competition Authority (URCA) Agape House 4th Terrace East Collins Avenue PO Box N 4860 Nassau, Bahamas Phone: 242-322-4437 Fax: 242-323-7288 ladderley@urcabahamas.bs
Barbados	Mr. Ronald Bascombe PS, Energy & Telecommunications Ministry of Finance and Investment, Labour, Civil Service and Energy Government Headquarters Bay Street St. Michael Barbados Phone: 246-426-3179 Fax: 246-436-9280	Mr. Reginald Bourne The Chief Telecommunications Officer Telecoms Unit 3rd Floor East The Warrens Office Complex Warrens St. Michael BB12001 Phone: 246-310-2251	
Bermuda	William G. Francis Acting Permanent Secretary Ministry of Energy, Telecommunications & E-Commerce PO Box HM101, HMAX Hamilton, Bermuda Phone: 441-297-7931 Fax: 441-295-1462 wgfrancis@gov.bm	Hiram Edwards Acting Director of Telecommunications P.O. Box HM101, HMAX Hamilton, Bermuda Phone: 441-298-7444 Fax: 441-295-1462 hedwards@gov.bm	

# CONTACTS IN THE COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN

Country	Contact for Formal Letters and Policy Issues	Contact for Day-to-Day Regulatory Numbering Issues	Contact for Central Office Code Administration
British Virgin Islands	Hon. Julian Fraser, R.A. Minister of Communications and Works 33 Admin Drive Wickhams Cay I Road Town, Tortola British Virgin Islands Phone: 284-468-3701 x2183 Fax: 284-494-3873	Telecommunications Officer, Telecommunications Unit, R.G. Hodge Plaza, 3rd Floor Road Town, Tortola, BVI Phone: 284-494-3701 ext. 3603 Fax: 284-494-6462 gumalone@gov.vg	
Canada	Robert A. Morin Secretary General Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1A 0N2 Phone: 819-953-3991 Fax: 819-953-0589	Bill Mason Manager Numbering Administration Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission Les Terrasses de la Chaudière Central Building 1 Promenade du Portage Gatineau, Quebec J8X 4B1 (by mail to: Ottawa, ON, Canada K1A 0N2) Phone: 819-953-8882 bill.mason@crtc.gc.ca	Glenn Pilley Director Canadian Numbering Administrator SAIC Canada 1516-60 Queen Street Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1P 5Y7 Phone: 613-683-3289 Fax: 613-563-9293 pilleyg@saiccanada.com
Cayman Islands	David Archbold Managing Director Information & Communications Technology Authority P.O. Box 2502 GT George Town, Grand Cayman Cayman Islands Phone: 345-946-4282 Fax: 345-945-8284 David.archbold@icta.ky		
Dominica	Hon. Ambrose George Minister of Information, Telecommunications and Constituency Empowerment 3rd Floor, Government Headquarters, Kennedy Avenue, Roseau Phone: 767-266-3294 Fax: 767-448-0182 information@dominica.gov.dm	Craig Nesty Executive Director National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission 42-2 Kennedy Avenue P.O. Box 649 Roseau, Commonwealth of Dominica Phone: 767-440-0627 Fax: 767-440-0835 director@ntrcdom.org	Craig Nesty Executive Director National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission 42-2 Kennedy Avenue P.O. Box 649 Roseau, Commonwealth of Dominica Phone: 767-440-0627 Fax: 767-440-0835 director@ntrcdom.org
Dominican Republic	David Perez Minister of State President Santo Domingo Dominican Republic Phone: 829-473-8553 Fax: 829-732-3877 dperez@indotel.gob.do	Eduardo Evertz Manager Concessions and Licenses Department Phone: 829-473-8503 Fax: 829-732-7189 evertz@indotel.gob.do	Jose Perez Engineer Concessions and Licenses Department Phone: 829-473-8504 jperez@indotel.gob.do
Grenada	The Honorable Joseph Gilbert Minister for Works, Physical Development and Public Utilities Ministerial Complex, Botanical Gardens Tanteen, St. George's, Grenada Phone: 473-440-2271 Fax: 473-440-4122 ministryofworks@gov.gd	Aldwyn Ferguson Coordinator of Telecommunications National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 854 St. George's, Grenada Phone: 473-435-6872 Fax: 473-435-2132 gntrc@ectel.int	Aldwyn Ferguson Coordinator of Telecommunications National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 854 St. George's, Grenada Phone: 473-435-6872 Fax: 473-435-2132 gntrc@ectel.int

# CONTACTS IN THE COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN

Country	Contact for Formal Letters and Policy Issues	Contact for Day-to-Day Regulatory Numbering Issues	Contact for Central Office Code Administration
Jamaica	Maurice Charvis Deputy Director General Office of Utilities Regulation 3rd Floor, PCJ Resource Centre 36 Trafalgar Road Kingston 10 Jamaica Phone: 876-968-6053 Fax: 876-929-3635 mcharvis@our.org.jm	Curtis Robinson Specialist – Numbering Administration and Technical Support Office of Utilities Regulation 3rd Floor, PCJ Resource Centre 36 Trafalgar Road Kingston 10 Jamaica Phone: 876-968-6053 Fax: 876-929-3635 crobinson@our.org.jm	Curtis Robinson Specialist – Numbering Administration and Technical Support Office of Utilities Regulation 3rd Floor, PCJ Resource Centre 36 Trafalgar Road Kingston 10 Jamaica Phone: 876-968-6053 Fax: 876-929-3635 crobinson@our.org.jm
Montserrat	Hon. Charles Kirnon Minister of Communications and Works P.O. Box 344 Woodlands, Montserrat West Indies Phone: 664-491-2521 Fax: 664-491-6659 comworks@gov.ms		
St. Kitts and Nevis	Hon. Dr. Earl Asim Martin Minister of Public Works, Utilities, Tranports and Posts Saint Kitts and Nevis Phone: 869-466-7032 Fax: 869-465-5501		
St. Lucia	Hon. Guy Joseph Ministry of Communications, Works, Transport and Public Utilities Union St. Lucia West Indies Phone: 758-468-4300 Fax: 758-453-2769 Min_com@gosl.gov.lc	Alvin Augustin Manager of Technical Services National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission No. 35 Chisel Street P.O. Box GM690 Castries, St. Lucia West Indies Phone: 758-458-2035 Fax: 758-453-2553 aagustin@ectel.int	Elicious Cyril Director National Telecommunications Regulatory Commission No. 35 Chisel Street P.O. Box GM690 Castries, St. Lucia West Indies Phone: 758-458-2035 Fax: 758-453-2553 ecyril@ectel.int
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Apollo Knights Secretary/Director NTRC 2nd Floor NIS Building Upper Bay Street P.O. Box 2368 Kingstown St. Vincent and the Grenadines West Indies Phone: 784-457-2279 Fax: 784-457-2834 ntrc@ntrc.vc	Apollo Knights Secretary/Director NTRC 2nd Floor NIS Building Upper Bay Street P.O. Box 2368 Kingstown St. Vincent and the Grenadines West Indies Phone: 784-457-2279 Fax: 784-457-2834 ntrc@ntrc.vc	Apollo Knights Secretary/Director NTRC 2nd Floor NIS Building Upper Bay Street P.O. Box 2368 Kingstown St. Vincent and the Grenadines West Indies Phone: 784-457-2279 Fax: 784-457-2834 ntrc@ntrc.vc

# CONTACTS IN THE COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN

Country	Contact for Formal Letters and Policy Issues	Contact for Day-to-Day Regulatory Numbering Issues	Contact for Central Office Code Administration
Trinidad and Tobago	<p>Cris Seecheran Executive Director (Ag) Telecommunications Authority of Trinidad and Tobago #5 Eighth Avenue Extension, Off Twelfth Street Barataria, Republic of Trinidad and Tobago Phone: 868-675-8288 Fax: 868-674-1055 Info@tatt.org.tt</p>	<p>Kirk Sookram Resource Planning and Management Engineer Telecommunications Authority of Trinidad and Tobago #5 Eighth Avenue Extension, Off Twelfth Street Barataria, Republic of Trinidad and Tobago Phone: 868-675-8288 Fax: 868-674-1055 Info@tatt.org.tt</p>	<p>Kirk Sookram Resource Planning and Management Engineer Telecommunications Authority of Trinidad and Tobago #5 Eighth Avenue Extension, Off Twelfth Street Barataria, Republic of Trinidad and Tobago Phone: 868-675-8288 Fax: 868-674-1055 Info@tatt.org.tt</p>
Turks and Caicos Islands	<p>Mr. Arthur Been Permanent Secretary of Tourism, Trade and Communications Government Square Grand Turk, Turks and Caicos Islands British West Indies Phone: 649-946-1738 Fax: 649-946-1498 abeen@gov.tc</p>	<p>John Williams Director General Telecommunications Commission PO Box 203 Providenciales Turks &amp; Caicos Islands Phone: 649-946-1900 Fax: 649-946-1119 johnwilliams@tcitelecommission.tc</p>	<p>John Williams Director General Telecommunications Commission PO Box 203 Providenciales Turks &amp; Caicos Islands Phone: 649-946-1900 Fax: 649-946-1119 johnwilliams@tcitelecommission.tc</p>
United States	<p>Sharon Gillet Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St., SW Washington, DC 20554 Phone: 202-418-1500 Fax: 202-418-2825</p>		<p>Beth Sprague Regional Director NANPA Code Administration NeuStar, Inc. 46000 Center Oak Plaza Sterling, VA 20166 Phone: 571-434-5513 Fax: 571-434-5502 beth.sprague@neustar.biz</p>

## ATTACHMENT 10 – LIST OF ACRONYMS

---

<b>ABEC</b> – Alternate Billing Exchange Code	<b>MTE</b> – Months-to-Exhaust
<b>AOCN</b> – Administrative Operating Company Number	<b>LEC</b> – Local Exchange Carrier
<b>ANI</b> – Automatic Number Identification	<b>NANC</b> – North American Numbering Council
<b>ASR</b> – Access Service Request	<b>NANP</b> – North American Numbering Plan
<b>ATIS</b> – Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions	<b>NANPA</b> – North American Numbering Plan Administration
<b>CIC</b> – Carrier Identification Code	<b>NAS</b> – NANP Administration System
<b>CLEC</b> – Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	<b>NNS</b> – NANP Notification System
<b>CD</b> – Compact Disk	<b>NOWG</b> – Numbering Oversight Working Group
<b>CO</b> – Central Office	<b>NPA</b> – Numbering Plan Area
<b>CMRS</b> – Commercial Mobile Radio Service	<b>NRO</b> – Number Resource Optimization
<b>CRTC</b> – Canadian Radio–television and Telecommunications Commission	<b>NRUF</b> – Numbering Resource Utilization/Forecast
<b>EFT</b> – Electronic File Transfer	<b>OCN</b> – Operating Company Number
<b>ESQK</b> – Emergency Service Query Key	<b>p-ANI</b> – Pseudo Automated Number Identification
<b>FCC</b> – Federal Communications Commission	<b>PA</b> – Pooling Administrator
<b>FG B</b> – Feature Group B	<b>PAS</b> – Pooling Administration System
<b>FG D</b> – Feature Group D	<b>POTS</b> – Plain Old Telephone Service
<b>FRN</b> – FCC Registration Number	<b>PCS</b> – Personal Communications Service
<b>FTP</b> – File Transfer Protocol	<b>PSTN</b> – Public Switched Telephone Network
<b>ILEC</b> – Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier	<b>TN</b> – Telephone Number
<b>INC</b> – Industry Numbering Committee	<b>VoIP</b> – Voice over Internet Protocol
<b>IPD</b> – Initial Planning Document	<b>VSC</b> – Vertical Service Code

neustar®